PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING OF JANUARY 13, 2021

COMMISSIONER AUSTIN F. CULLEN

| INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS | | |
|--|---|------|
| Witness | Description | Page |
| | Proceedings commenced at 9:30 a.m. | 1 |
| José Hernandez (for the commission) | Examination by Mr. McGowan | 2 |
| Michele Wood-Tweel for the commission) | | |
| ······································ | Proceedings adjourned at 10:59 a.m. | 79 |
| | Proceedings reconvened at 11:19 a.m. | 79 |
| José Hernandez | Examination by Mr. McGowan (continuing) | 79 |
| (for the commission) | Examination by Mr. Rauch-Davis | 92 |
| Michele Wood-Tweel | Examination by Ms. Effendi | 103 |
| (for the commission) | Questions by the Commissioner | 149 |
| | Proceedings adjourned at 12:45 p.m. to January 14, 2021 | 154 |
| | INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION | |
| Letter Descriptio | on and the second se | Page |

No exhibits for identification marked.

| INDEX OF EXHIBITS | | | |
|-------------------|---|------|--|
| No. | Description | Page | |
| 404 | Curriculum Vitae of Michele Wood-Tweel | 3 | |
| 405 | Curriculum Vitae of José Hernandez | 5 | |
| 406 | CPAC Background Report on CPA Canada's AML Activities | 12 | |

| 407 | Anti-Money Laundering & Anti-Terrorist Financing Committee of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada – Terms of Reference – February 2015 | 42 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 408 | FINTRAC presentation – Anti-Money Laundering and Anti - Terrorism Financing in Canada (CPA Canada) – March 4, 2015 | 68 |
| 409 | CPA Canada Alert - Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering) and Terrorist Financing – Know your Obligations, July 2015 | 106 |

| 1 | | January 13, 2021 |
|----|-----|--|
| 2 | | (Via Videoconference) |
| 3 | | (PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:30 A.M.) |
| 4 | THE | REGISTRAR: Good morning. The hearing is now |
| 5 | | resumed, Mr. Commissioner. |
| 6 | THE | COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Madam Registrar. |
| 7 | | Yes, Mr. McGowan. |
| 8 | MR. | McGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. Today we have |
| 9 | | two witnesses testifying, Ms. Michele Wood-Tweel |
| 10 | | and Dr. José Hernandez, who are here on behalf |
| 11 | | of the Chartered Professional Accountants of |
| 12 | | Canada. |
| 13 | THE | COMMISSIONER: Thank you. |
| 14 | MR. | McGOWAN: If the witnesses could be sworn, |
| 15 | | please. |
| 16 | THE | REGISTRAR: Would each of you please state your |
| 17 | | full name and spell your first name and last |
| 18 | | name for the record. I'll start with |
| 19 | | Dr. Hernandez. |
| 20 | THE | WITNESS: (JH) José Roberto Hernandez. It's |
| 21 | | J-o-s-é R-o-b-e-r-t-o H-e-r-n-a-n-d-e-z. |
| 22 | THE | REGISTRAR: Thank you. And Ms. Wood-Tweel. |
| 23 | THE | WITNESS: (MWT) Michele Alison Wood-Tweel. |
| 24 | | M-i-c-h-e-l-e A-l-i-s-o-n W-o-o-d - T-w-e-e-l. |
| 25 | | |

2 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 JOSÉ HERNANDEZ, a 2 witness called for the 3 commission, sworn. 4 MICHELE WOOD-TWEEL, a 5 witness called for the 6 commission, sworn. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. McGowan. 8 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGOWAN: 9 10 0 I'm just going to spend a few brief moments 11 reviewing the background our two -- backgrounds 12 of our two witnesses. 13 Ms. Wood-Tweel, I'm going to start with you. 14 You are currently the Vice-President Regulatory Affairs of the Chartered Professional 15 Accountants of Canada? 16 (MWT) Yes, that's correct. 17 А 18 And you've held that position since 2015? Q 19 (MWT) Yes, correct. Α 20 Q Prior to holding your current position, you were the Chief Executive Officer and Executive 21 22 Director of the Institute of Chartered 23 Accountants of Nova Scotia? 24 (MWT) Yes, I was. А 25 You hold a Bachelor of Commerce from St. Mary's 0

| | lood-T | (for the commission) weel (for the commission) Gowan |
|----|--------|--|
| 1 | | University? |
| 2 | A | (MWT) I do. |
| 3 | Q | Which you were awarded that in 1983? |
| 4 | A | Correct. |
| 5 | Q | You became a chartered accountant in a 1985? |
| 6 | A | (MWT) Yes. |
| 7 | Q | And spent a good part of your career from 1983 |
| 8 | | to 2005 working at KPMG? |
| 9 | A | (MWT) I did. |
| 10 | Q | You provided the commission with a copy of your |
| 11 | | CV? |
| 12 | A | (MWT) Yes, I. |
| 13 | Q | If we could display that please, Madam |
| 14 | | Registrar. |
| 15 | | This is your CV is being displayed now? |
| 16 | A | (MWT) Yes, I can see it. |
| 17 | MR. 1 | McGOWAN: Thank you. If that could be the next |
| 18 | | exhibit please, Mr. Commissioner. |
| 19 | THE | COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 404. |
| 20 | THE I | REGISTRAR: Exhibit 404. |
| 21 | | EXHIBIT 404: Curriculum Vitae of Michele |
| 22 | | Wood-Tweel |
| 23 | MR. 1 | McGOWAN: Thank you. |
| 24 | Q | Dr. Hernandez, you are a member of CPA Canada? |
| 25 | A | (JH) I'm a member of the Institute of Chartered |

| | Michel | e M | | (for the commission) weel (for the commission) Gowan | 4 |
|---|--------|-----|---|--|---|
| | 1 | | | Accountants of Ontario. | |
| | 2 | | Q | Of Ontario. And you have some involvement with | |
| | 3 | | | CPA Canada? | |
| | 4 | | A | (JH) Yes, I'm a volunteer with CPA Canada. | |
| | 5 | | Q | And you're presently based in Europe? | |
| | 6 | | A | (JH) Correct. | |
| | 7 | | Q | And with CPA Canada you were one of CPA Canada's | 3 |
| | 8 | | | representatives at the Department of Finance's | |
| | 9 | | | public-private advisory committee on money | |
| 1 | 0 | | | laundering and terrorist financing? | |
| 1 | 1 | | A | (JH) That's correct. | |
| 1 | 2 | | Q | And you're currently the CEO of Ortus | |
| 1 | 3 | | | Strategies? | |
| 1 | 4 | | A | (JH) Correct. | |
| 1 | 5 | | Q | If you can just explain to the commissioner what | C |
| 1 | 6 | | | Ortus Strategies is. | |
| 1 | 7 | | A | (JH) Ortus Strategies is a consulting business | |
| 1 | 8 | | | established in 2008 with my former partner, | |
| 1 | 9 | | | Louis Freeh. And the it's based out of | |
| 2 | 0 | | | Zurich and then our focus is on white collar | |
| 2 | 1 | | | crime, advising global corporations to address | |
| 2 | 2 | | | issues once a scandal has erupted particularly | |
| 2 | 3 | | | related to the United States Securities and | |
| 2 | 4 | | | Exchange Commission or the Department of | |
| 2 | 5 | | | Justice, so issues including fraud, corruption | |

| | Michele | | (for the commission) weel (for the commission) Gowan | 5 |
|----|---------|-----|--|---|
| - | L | | and but the focus is on board advisory and | |
| 4 | 2 | | remediation. | |
| | 3 | Q | Okay. Thank you. You hold a bachelors degree | |
| 2 | 1 | | of mathematics from the University of Waterloo? | |
| 1 | 5 | A | (JH) That's correct. | |
| (| 5 | Q | A masters in accounting also from the University | У |
| - | 7 | | of Waterloo? | |
| 8 | 3 | A | (JH) Correct. | |
| (| 9 | Q | And you completed your PhD in economics and | |
| 1(| C | | business administration in 2007 at VU Universit | У |
| 11 | L | | in Amsterdam? | |
| 12 | 2 | A | (JH) That's correct. | |
| 1 | 3 | Q | Where you continue to serve as guest faculty in | |
| 14 | 1 | | the school of business and economics? | |
| 1 | 5 | A | (JH) That's correct. | |
| 10 | 5 | Q | You provided the commission with a copy of your | |
| 1 | 7 | | curriculum vitae. | |
| 18 | 3 | MR. | McGOWAN: Madam Registrar, if we could display | |
| 19 | 9 | | that, please. Now on the screen. | |
| 20 | C | | Mr. Commissioner, I wonder if that could be | е |
| 22 | L | | the next exhibit, please. | |
| 22 | 2 | THE | COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 405. | |
| 23 | 3 | THE | REGISTRAR: Exhibit 405. | |
| 24 | 1 | | EXHIBIT 405: Curriculum Vitae of José Hernande | z |
| 25 | 5 | MR. | McGOWAN: Thank you. We can put the that down | |

| Miche | | (for the commission) weel (for the commission) Gowan | 6 |
|-------|---|--|---|
| 1 | | now. | |
| 2 | | I'm going to spend a few minutes, | |
| 3 | | Mr. Commissioner, reviewing the background and | |
| 4 | | structure of the organization these two | |
| 5 | | witnesses represent. | |
| 6 | Q | And, Ms. Wood-Tweel, I'll direct my questions | |
| 7 | | initially to you, though, Dr. Hernandez, if you | |
| 8 | | have anything to add, please don't be shy about | |
| 9 | | jumping in. | |
| 10 | A | (JH) Thank you. | |
| 11 | Q | Ms. Wood-Tweel, I wonder if you'd just take a | |
| 12 | | few moments and explain to the commissioner wha | t |
| 13 | | CPA Canada is and perhaps discuss with him the | |
| 14 | | mandate and role and membership of your | |
| 15 | | organization. | |
| 16 | A | (MWT) Thank you. CPA Canada is the national | |
| 17 | | organization of the chartered professional | |
| 18 | | accountant profession in Canada. And as such i | t |
| 19 | | does represent the profession nationally and | |
| 20 | | often times that interprets into federally with | |
| 21 | | respect to the way Canada is structured | |
| 22 | | vis-à-vis the federal government and the | |
| 23 | | provincial governments and we also represent the | е |
| 24 | | CPA profession internationally in support of | |
| 25 | | things like international standard setting as i | t |

7 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 relates to the accounting profession and also as 2 a member of the International Federation of 3 Accountants. 4 Q Thank you. How many members does the 5 organization have? Approximately 220,000. 6 А And is membership in CPA Canada mandatory for 7 Ο 8 those who are members of the provincial 9 organizations or who are regulated provincially? (MWT) Right. Yes, it is. So the individuals 10 А 11 who are members of the provincial bodies of the 12 CPA profession are also members of CPA Canada. 13 CPA Canada is one of those creatures under 14 non-profit statutes that has members as well and 15 the members are the provincial bodies 16 themselves. Okay. Thank you. And does CPA Canada have any 17 0 18 governance or oversight role with respect to the 19 provincial bodies? 20 А (MWT) No, not governance and oversight. The 21 relationship between CPA Canada and the 22 provinces is one of collaboration. The 23 provinces fulfill a unique role vis-à-vis the 24 CPA profession in that they are statutorily 25 constructed in their relevant jurisdiction and

1 they are the regulating bodies of the CPA 2 profession. Not to say that CPA Canada and the 3 provinces don't work together because they do. 4 There are many ways in which the profession 5 enables itself to do what it does through the power and the abilities of both CPA Canada and 6 7 provinces working collaboratively. 8 Thank you. In order to be a member of your Q 9 organization does an accountant have to belong 10 to the provincial organization? 11 (MWT) Yes. А 12 And I gather one of the roles that CPA Canada Ο 13 fulfills is providing guidance to provincial 14 regulators. 15 (MWT) Not so much guidance to provincial Α 16 regulators. Guidance in relation to matters of 17 interest to the profession, I would say more so. 18 So, for example, we provide research and 19 guidance in relation to accounting standards, in 20 relation to auditing standards, in relation to 21 business issues that impact upon the profession. 22 So it's not so much guidance to the provincial 23 bodies because the provincial bodies in their 24 own right have their own authority to do what 25 they're doing.

1 Okay. Thank you. Do you develop model rules or Q 2 model bylaws or model codes of conduct for 3 consideration by the provincial organizations? 4 А (MWT) Right. So one of the things that CPA 5 Canada does is it supports a lot of the work that the profession does in its entirety. One 6 7 of the ways in which we do that is by supporting 8 the development of a harmonized code for the 9 profession, and the provinces all work together in developing that code. And then as they 10 11 depart to go home to their relative or 12 respective jurisdictions, they have an idea of 13 what the harmonize code represents and then they 14 look to deploy that in their own provinces.

15 The legislation in each province in Canada 16 is unique in some way and it differs according 17 province to province how unique it is. But then the code that is built as the harmonized code 18 19 has to fit within the regulatory and legislative 20 landscape of the respective province. So it may 21 be changed somewhat to fit into the provinces' 22 respective framework, but not by large degrees 23 because the code is relatively the same across 24 the country.

25 Q Do any sections of the harmonized code or are

1 any of them aimed at promoting AML compliance or 2 reducing the chance that an accountant might be 3 used to facilitate money laundering? 4 А (MWT) Yes, I think it would be fair to say that. 5 So the code is -- the Canadian CPA code is, it's fair to say, a mixture of both principles and 6 7 rules. And the principles that you see in the code that would relate to this, things like 8 9 integrity and things that attract to professional behaviour, are all very relevant in 10 maintaining the reputation of the profession, 11 12 putting the publication interest ahead of one's 13 own, et cetera. Those are all principles that 14 the code is built on. But then there are also 15 specific rules that speak to the fact that you 16 cannot associate with what you know not to be 17 true or that you should know not to be true. 18 You can't be associated with illegal activities, 19 et cetera. There are a number of provisions 20 within the code that would speak to those types 21 of items. 22 Okay. I gather from some information you Q

23 provided to the commission that CPA Canada
24 spends some significant effort in engaging in
25 issues related to money laundering as it relates

| | Wood-1 | z (for the commission) Tweel (for the commission) cGowan | 11 |
|----|--------|--|----|
| 1 | | to the accounting profession? | |
| 2 | A | (MWT) That would be correct. | |
| 3 | Q | You've prepared for us a background report | |
| 4 | | titled "Background Report on CPA Canada | |
| 5 | | Anti-Money Laundering Activities"? | |
| 6 | A | (MWT) Yes. | |
| 7 | Q | And were you involved in constructing that | |
| 8 | | report? | |
| 9 | A | (MWT) Yes. | |
| 10 | Q | And that report sets out, among other things, | |
| 11 | | anti-money laundering activities and engagemen | t |
| 12 | | that the organization engages in and it attach | es |
| 13 | | a number of submissions and publications creat | ed |
| 14 | | by the organization; is that fair? | |
| 15 | A | (MWT) Yes, that's correct. | |
| 16 | Q | It's quite an extensive document and sets out | |
| 17 | | and attaches a number of materials, and rather | |
| 18 | | than walking you through each of them I think | it |
| 19 | | would be useful if we could put that in the | |
| 20 | | record before the Commissioner. | |
| 21 | MR. | McGOWAN: So, Madam Registrar, I'm going to ask | |
| 22 | | that you please display the front page of | |
| 23 | | CPAC0000267. Yes. | |
| 24 | Q | That's the report we've been discussing? | |
| 25 | A | (MWT) Yes. | |

José Hernandez (for the commission) 12 Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I wonder if that 2 could be the next exhibit, please. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. 4 MR. McGOWAN: The report along with its appendices, some of which we will discuss. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. 6 Exhibit 406. 7 8 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 406. 9 EXHIBIT 406: CPAC Background Report on CPA Canada's AML Activities 10 11 MR. McGOWAN: 12 I wonder if just in summary fashion, 0 13 Ms. Wood-Tweel, you might take a few moments and 14 discuss in summary fashion the engagement on AML issues that CPA Canada is involved in. 15 16 (MWT) Yes. There are several ways. In terms of А 17 the Canadian federal government we have been 18 very active with respect to being participants 19 at both the advisory committee on money 20 laundering and terrorist financing advising 21 finance. I've also been a member of a 22 subcommittee of that committee itself and that 23 is on the legislation and regulation associated with the PCMLTFA and as well there is another 24 25 subcommittee on guidance and policy

13 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 interpretation working group which is a 2 committee that is co-chaired -- or sorry, 3 chaired by FINTRAC which is with respect to all 4 of the pieces of guidance and policy 5 interpretation that FINTRAC issues with respect to the regime. 6 7 0 Thank you. CPA Canada is a member of the International Federation of Accountants? 8 9 (ET) Yes. А I wonder if you could just explain briefly to 10 0 the Commissioner what that organization is. 11 12 (MWT) Yes. So the accounting profession is А 13 truly global in many different respects and one 14 of which is obviously the work that we do being 15 global in nature. But in addition to that, IFAC 16 or the International Federation of Accountants, 17 brings together the global profession to look at 18 the issues associated with the profession and it 19 also supports the independent standard-setting 20 boards that establish the accounting standards 21 and the audit and assurance standards and 22 ethical standards that evolve internationally. 23 And then similarly to the story I told about 24 how we develop something in Canada and take it

home to the provinces, we do a very similar

1 thing internationally whereby we look at 2 international standards and then we try to 3 harmonize to those as best as we can in our 4 respective jurisdictions around the world. And 5 in that way you end up with compatibility of both requirements and standards around the globe 6 to the extent that those countries have in 7 particular either reconciled to them or adopted 8 9 them.

10QOkay. And does IFAC provide guidance or11standards that specifically relate to AML?12A(MWT) I think they would speak in the same way13that Canada's profession does through the code14of ethics, the international code of ethics15developed by the International Ethics Standards

16Board of Accountants and as well in relation to17audit and assurance standards.

18 Q Thank you. And CPA Canada is also involved in 19 the international ethics standards board for 20 accountants?

A (MWT) Yes. As the member of IFAC and as well as playing a supporting role to the provincial bodies in Canada, we participate in the International Ethics Standards Board of Accountants as the national standards setter for

1 Canada, and that's with the chair of the public 2 trust committee and myself representing CPA 3 Canada. We attend the meetings of the 4 international ethics board and participate as 5 national standard setters because the provincial bodies are the ethical standard setters for the 6 provincial bodies in Canada. 7 Thank you. And is that board associated to 8 Q 9 TFAC? (MWT) It's supported by IFAC. It is an 10 А 11 independent standard-setting board and it has 12 independent oversight and it is structured 13 independently but has support. 14 And I understand that CPA Canada in conjunction 0 15 with that board recently authored an 16 international alert for professional accountants 17 regarding COVID-19 and involving risks of money 18 laundering -- risks for money laundering. 19 (MWT) Yes. Α 20 I wonder if you could take a moment and explain Q 21 to the Commissioner the observed or assessed 22 impact of COVID-19 on money laundering risks. 23 And, Dr. Hernandez, I don't know if you or. 24 Ms. Wood-Tweel are better placed to respond 25 to that question, so I'll let you two sort it

1 out, I guess.

A (MWT) I can maybe start and then José, I'm sure,
will jump in.

4 The COVID-19 working group was established by the international ethics standards board in 5 light of obviously the pandemic and the identity 6 7 of the fact that there were probably going to be issues that would face accountants that could be 8 9 ethical in nature simply as a result of the new 10 issues associated with the pandemic. And Canada was invited to participate in that small working 11 12 group with other countries like South Africa, 13 the UK, Australia. And we put our minds 14 together to come up with a list of issues that 15 we thought were relevant during COVID-19.

And the submission that I made was that anti-money laundering and cyber security threats were going to increase in the period of the pandemic. And with that was given the authority to start to develop the types of issues that might go into an alert to the international accounting profession in regard to those issues.

23 So the research that I did certainly looked 24 at places like Interpol, it looked at FATF, it 25 looked at cyber security, intelligence houses

1 around the world to see what they were saying. 2 And there were very basic to very sophisticated 3 issues associated with the pandemic that were 4 starting to arise with respect to an increase in evolving risks regarding money laundering. 5 Ιt was as simple as closed borders during the 6 7 pandemic meant that people who are cash mules in the system could not go across borders taking 8 9 cash from one country to another. So it became 10 evident that there were going to be challenges associated with the regimes in terms of managing 11 12 what was taking place. They were go to have to 13 be nimble as well as intelligence and also law 14 enforcement with respect to the evolving risks.

15 (JH) And, Mr. McGowan, if I may add. Ι 16 mean, in practice, you know, corporations have 17 established programs, policies, procedures and 18 now most of this has to be done from a bedroom 19 or an office. So in terms of effectiveness, 20 organizations have to relook at a lot -- a 21 number of their procedures, protocols and 22 reinvent a better way to do business when in 23 fact face-to-face interaction is effectively 24 eliminated.

25

So it's very, very complex. It goes beyond

1 anti-money laundering. It's just a broader 2 theme of control, governance and compliance, and everyone is struggling. And of course that 3 4 creates opportunities but also threats because 5 you cannot identify your clients, you cannot identify your third parties as effectively as 6 7 you were able to do a year ago. 8 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. And, Mr. Commissioner, for 9 the benefit of the record, the paper that we've been discussing is Appendix GG to the background 10 11 report that was filed. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 13 MR. McGOWAN: 14 Now, I understand that CPA Canada has also 0 collaborated with the ethics board on the issue 15 16 of beneficial ownership transparency? (MWT) Yes. With IFAC, actually. 17 А 18 I gather that's an issue that's of some Q 19 importance to CPA Canada; is that a fair 20 understanding? 21 А (MWT) Yes, it is. In part because of the 22 obvious movement with respect to beneficial 23 ownership in Canada, both in terms of the 24 creation of requirements for beneficial 25 ownership registers within the provinces and

José Hernandez (for the commission) 19 Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 also the consideration of whether we will 2 develop in Canada a public registry of 3 beneficial ownership. 4 0 When you speak of beneficial ownership, are you 5 speaking of beneficial ownership in relation to property or corporations, or is it something 6 7 else? (MWT) This is -- where I have focused my 8 А 9 attention has been on the private corporate 10 space. What was clear to me was from looking at 11 some of the countries around the world where 12 there were initiatives being undertaken, the one 13 that caught my eye clearly a couple of years ago 14 was the Companies House in the UK. And for 15 reasons unrelated to where Canada is today I 16 started studying that regime to understand it more because it seemed be to a bold initiative 17 18 and I wanted to understand how it itself played 19 into the realm of anti-money laundering. 20 Okay. Q 21 А (JH) Mr. McGowan, if I could just add to that. 22 If you look at the enforcement trends around 23 corruption and the major scandal, three quarters 24 of these tend to involve third parties. So that 25 means that corporations are effectively caught

1 outsourcing their dirty work, dirty laundry to a 2 third party to do that on their behalf. For me 3 beneficial owner is so fundamental in the sense 4 that we need to get -- and this is why CPA 5 Canada is so adamant about this. We need to get to a better standard of doing business. And to 6 7 do that we need to have the right tools and the right information for organizations not to get 8 9 involved with parties that they shouldn't, 10 whether it's because of the sanction list, whether they're PEP or because beneficial 11 12 ownership is unknown.

13 So, again, it's more about raising the 14 standard of doing business, raising the 15 awareness, raising the profile of business 16 particularly in Canada rather than just the fact 17 of having a reservoir or register that just sits 18 there to get updated and adds bureaucracy and 19 burden. But it is a tool, a very, very powerful 20 and effective tool that if you look at the 21 United States, they're on their way to 22 developing one. If you look at Europe, there's 23 a big push to -- they have developed them, but 24 they want to improve them. And then the 25 question about it is how much transparency do

1 you provide in the public domain. 2 Okay. Do you have any specific recommendations Q 3 with respect to how the British Columbia regime 4 could be improved? (JH) It's on the right track. I mean, we've 5 А started with the legislation. The test is on --6 7 is it going to -- it's got to remain updated. You know, is it going to be reliable and can it 8 be used on the one hand, and on the other hand 9 it is -- in terms of the burden on business and 10 burden on particularly small and medium-sized 11 12 businesses.

13 So I think it's -- you look at it like the 14 first major steps have been taken, and the test 15 is is it going to be effective.

16 Q Okay. Do you have any thoughts on the 17 importance of verifying the information 18 contained in the registry?

19A(JH) I mean, if you're looking at out-of-date20information, you know, then it's pretty useless21from a standard of doing business. And, again,22I look at it from the point of view if I want to23know whether I should do business with a24particular third party and I want to access25information and that information pertains to a

25

1 prior owner or a prior shareholder, it's pretty 2 useless to me looking back. So for me it has to 3 be reliable. It has to be reliable today. And 4 of course it cannot be, you know, current as of 5 a particular day, but if it's ten years old, the information, then it's pretty irrelevant. 6 7 0 Okay. And CPA Canada has co-authored a report with IFAC titled "Approaches to Beneficial 8 Ownership Transparency: The Global Framework 9 and Views From the Accountancy Profession"? 10 (MWT) That's correct. 11 А 12 MR. McGOWAN: Okay. And that, Mr. Commissioner, is 13 exhibit FF to the background report. 14 Ms. Wood-Tweel, does that paper still reflect 0 15 CPA Canada's current views on the issue? 16 (MWT) Well, it was a research paper that we put А 17 together to try and inform policy discussions 18 that we knew were going on throughout the world. 19 I think CPA Canada's position with respect to 20 the Canadian perspective that we were asked to 21 participate in consultation on is our response 22 to the federal government regarding the 23 proposals that were aired in Canada. 24 The other point that I would just like to

make further to the discussion you had with

1 Mr. Hernandez, we noticed as well with the UK 2 with respect to the Companies House registry 3 that when they did their reflection and their 4 evaluation of the Companies House register, I 5 think one of the things they've concluded is that verification is very important. And that 6 starts to show up when individuals who -- or 7 8 entities that are intended to utilize the registry for purposes of complying with the 9 regime can't rely on it because frankly the 10 11 information hasn't been verified and isn't 12 reliable. 13 Okay. Thank you. Dr. Hernandez, you served as Q

14 CPA Canada's representative on the 15 public-private advisory committee on money 16 laundering and terrorist financing?

17 A (JH) that's correct.

18 Q I wonder you could just explain to the19 Commissioner what that organization is.

A (JH) It was an organization put together involving bringing government finance Canada and bringing industry, various participants together. We meet twice a year. And the -it's a discussion fora in order to address issues, in order to, you know, work on how to

1 effectively improve the regime. So it's a 2 two-way conversation that occurs. It meets twice a year in Ottawa, generally -- and of 3 4 course not now with COVID times -- about three 5 hours. And it's a broad stakeholder group involving, you know, members of finance Canada 6 7 and FINTRAC and other regulatory bodies actually sit at the table. 8

9 Okay. And during those meetings, are you as CPA Q 10 Canada's representative provided feedback on the level of compliance or understanding of your 11 12 membership as it relates to AML obligations? 13 (JH) No. It's meant to address broader subjects А 14 that are affecting the whole. You know, best 15 practices. For example, private-public 16 partnerships, how they're working, how they're effective. Issues that are affecting the 17 18 regime, like cryptocurrencies. And it's meant 19 to be a dialogue rather than a discussion on a 20 particular member.

21 Q Okay. Thank you. We've heard some evidence 22 about Canada's AML regime as it relates to 23 accountants, and I gather there are many 24 certified professional accountants performing a 25 wide variety of accounting function but that a

1 relatively narrow subset of those individuals 2 and activities are actually caught by reporting 3 requirements. Is that a fair understanding? 4 А (MWT) It's a fair understanding in that the 5 profession itself has as I mentioned approximately 220,000 members. Of those members 6 7 approximately 80 percent are doing something other than public practice. So 20 percent of 8 9 our membership is active in public practice. And then in relation to Canada's regime it's a 10 11 question of whether or not the activities that 12 they undertake are actually what are considered 13 to be triggering activities in accordance with 14 the legislation and regulations. 15 I wonder if you could briefly describe for the Q 16 Commissioner the circumstances in which 17 obligations arise under the PCMLTFA and 18 regulations for accountants to report. 19 (MWT) Yes. So accountants and accounting firms, А 20 and there are definitions associated with these, 21 but for general purposes I will say it's 22 designated accountants in Canada. Chartered 23 professional accountants are the accountants 24 spoken to in the legislation and regulations 25 currently. And what it speaks to is that if you

1 are entering into what are called triggering 2 activities -- and this is where you become a 3 financial intermediary into the financial 4 system, you are actually transacting in a way 5 that you were having an impact on the financial system -- you will have responsibilities under 6 7 the regime. So that could be the buying and selling of securities, it could be the buying 8 9 and selling of real estate as an example. Ιt could be the receipt or payment of cash on 10 behalf of a client or a person, another entity. 11 12 Okay. So providing advice, are there 0 13 circumstances where there's not a transaction 14 actually conducted by the accountant but he or 15 she is in the position of providing advice? 16 Would that ever be caught by the legislation? 17 А (MWT) No, the intent of the legislation is, as I 18 mentioned, to focus on -- and it's been sculpted 19 that way in my opinion both by way of my 20 research and also my thinking on the subject. 21 It's been sculpted in a way to look at the risk 22 posed by the involvement of an accountant in a 23 transaction that actually interfaces with the 24 financial system, and the provision of advice 25 doesn't. However, clearly what has been made

1 clear is that if you are providing instructions and you are directing, then that is the same 2 3 thing as being actually involved in the direct 4 transaction. So there's a differentiation being made 5 between advice and between instructions. 6 7 0 Okay. Thank you. Would the maintaining of books for a corporation or an organization ever 8 9 be caught by the legislation in terms of a reporting requirement? 10 11 (MWT) I would suggest no. The keeping of books А 12 is basically -- I say this with respect -- a 13 paper process. So it is not the movement of 14 assets and it is not the movement of money. It 15 is the recording of transactions on paper and is 16 not anything that ends up leading to a financial 17 transaction itself with the financial system. 18 But I gather the maintaining of books might give Q 19 an accountant a lens into activity such that 20 they might be in a position to observe 21 suspicious activity. Is that a fair 22 understanding? 23 А (MWT) Well, I think -- from my perspective I

24 think this is where it becomes relevant that we 25 have to realize that the *PCMLTFA* -- and this is

1 again in my opinion from my research and my 2 studying and from my thinking about it -- does 3 not stand alone in Canada's legal 4 infrastructure. So it's one act and there are a 5 variety of other acts that are alongside it. So whether it's the Customs Act, whether it's 6 7 excise, whether it's the Income Tax Act, whether it's the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act. There 8 9 are a myriad of acts that relate to the question that you're asking. So it could be that a 10 person would be working on something and see 11 12 something. It's not to say that the PCMLTFA is 13 the only act that speaks to the issue. A very 14 good example obviously relates to tax. 15 Yes. Q

16 (JH) And, Mr. McGowan, if I could just add, you А 17 know, many of the organizations that I'm working 18 with, for example, will have a shared service 19 centre in Spain, transaction processing out of 20 Manila, a treasury based out of South America 21 and the actual recording of sales and expenses 22 are being done in different hemispheres. So in 23 terms of actually that sort of view, nostalgic 24 view of an accountant actually booking the 25 revenues and the expenses, that's -- you know,

| 1 | | it's true for my father's business and so on, |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | but it's not really true for most corporations. |
| 3 | | You have a lot of technology that has enabled |
| 4 | | compartmentalization but also efficiency. |
| 5 | | And then going back to Michele, a lot of it |
| 6 | | has been automated around the world with great |
| 7 | | technology. |
| 8 | Q | Am I correct that there are rules of |
| 9 | | confidentiality that limit the ability of an |
| 10 | | accountant to ethically disclose information |
| 11 | | about their clients? |
| 12 | A | (MWT) The rule of confidentiality certainly |
| 13 | | exists. And it exists in relation to your |
| 14 | | current clients and former clients or current |
| 15 | | employer and a former employer. Obviously the |
| 16 | | understanding between a chartered professional |
| 17 | | accountant is that if information it being |
| 18 | | disclosed to them that it's being disclosed in |
| 19 | | confidence. And in part that relates to the |
| 20 | | fact of receiving full disclosure and |
| 21 | | information from a client in order for us to be |
| 22 | | able to do our work. |
| 23 | | Many times we cannot successfully do our |
| | | |

work unless information is fully disclosed to usand most often people are not prepared to do

1 that unless they have confidentiality. However, 2 the provisions of confidentiality in the CPA 3 code and across the provinces provide for some 4 ways in which there are exceptions, one of which 5 is if there's legal authority with respect to disclosure, or another example is if your client 6 7 actually provides consent to the disclosure of information. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Could I interrupt just for a 9 second. You said legal authority. Did you mean 10 legal obligation, or did I misunderstand? 11 12 THE WITNESS: (MWT) Well, I think the word is 13 legal -- if there's legal authority meaning that 14 there's something that compels, and I think that it can come in different forms and different 15 16 pieces of legislation in different ways. The 17 PCMLTFA is clearly one of them. It creates a 18 requirement and that allows for reporting by 19 accountants as reporting entities under the 20 regime. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. I'm sorry, 22 Mr. McGowan. 23 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

24 Q If, for example, a charted professional 25 accountant in the course of assisting a client

1 observes suspicious circumstances such as a 2 client living well beyond their reported income but the transactions that the accountant was 3 4 involved in were not caught in such a way that 5 they -- that there was any mandated reporting, would the accountant be at liberty in the 6 context of the ethical obligations he or she 7 would be under to disclose that to law 8 9 enforcement or somebody else? 10 А (MWT) There's -- the answer to this is layers of 11 information. So I apologize that I can't make 12 it short and sweet. The first thing that an 13 individual in working with someone where you find a situation that doesn't for whatever 14 15 reason appear to be appropriate is obviously to 16 try and deal with that person or that entity in 17 terms of trying to find a way to remediate or 18 correct the issue. 19 If it's not possible to get the issue

remediated or corrected, and that includes telling people as clients that they may be actually running afoul of laws or that they may actually already be afoul of a law and they need to correct, it would be certainly normal course that you would not be able to associate with

that client in the future because our rules won't allow it. So you can't participate in the illegal activity that may further take place from the point at which you may have picked it up, and you can't be associated with it. So those are some of the examples of things that could occur.

8 There are other things that can occur. If 9 you were to be faced with a serious situation, 10 it might be that you would need to undertake 11 legal advice to determine the best steps that 12 you might need to take. Obviously understanding 13 if there are requirements to report the 14 information somewhere, you'd need to know that. 15 If you are then looking further to say there's nowhere that I'm required to report, I have 16 17 confidentiality, the accountant will basically 18 face some important decisions and they've got 19 important decisions associated with 20 confidentiality. They've got important 21 decisions relative to the public interest in 22 which the code is based and they are also 23 looking at an individual that came to them for 24 services. So there's going to be some decision 25 making and I am fairly confident based on

experience of the profession that it would
 happen with legal advice in term of the next
 steps.

4 Q Okay.

(JH) Mr. McGowan, if I could interject and just 5 А add to this. This is one of the reasons why 6 we've been advocating, at least since 2017 7 personally and CPA Canada, we need an effective 8 9 whistleblower regime in Canada. One where you don't have a transaction on hand, but you have 10 suspicions, and the question is where do you go. 11 Not only where do you go to provide information, 12 13 but also to prevent -- you know, so that law 14 enforcement and prosecutors, regulators can look 15 at that and actually undertake an investigation 16 in due course, but secondly how to protect individuals who do that, who take that leap of 17 18 faith to report and potentially breach of 19 confidentiality is required, but also have a 20 process to bring that issue to closure, you 21 know. For the sake of safeguarding the system 22 in Canada and, you know, again, as an individual 23 trying to exercises his or her responsibility, 24 you do need effective channels and effective 25 mechanisms to do that.

Thank you. Am I correct that -- Dr. Hernandez, 1 Q 2 that as things stand today in many circumstances 3 if there's not an obligation, a statutory or 4 other obligation to report, in many cases the 5 accountant would be bound by confidentiality to hold the information secret? 6 7 А (JH) That is my understanding, and worse than that, you know, by breaching confidentiality 8 9 your employer can, you know, seek damages against you and seek, you know some type of 10 restitution, and you end up in a web of 11 12 complexity trying to do the right thing and 13 ending not only without a job, with a bad

14 reputation and not employable for the15 foreseeable future.

MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. Madam Registrar, I note that the background report is still displayed. We don't need that any longer.

19QAside from the legislative requirements under20the legislation we've been discussing, does CPA21Canada or do the provinces typically --22provincial regulators, pardon me, typically have23any know-your-client rules or obligations placed24on accountants?

25 A (MWT) Speaking for that, if not otherwise

1 required, for example, as in reporting entities 2 under the PCMLTFA, I think that the code speaks 3 to it in that you have to know who you're doing 4 business with in order to uphold the reputation 5 of the profession, in order to ensure that you're not being becoming associated with 6 7 illegal activities or one unsavoury characters. So yes, I think in the realm of accepting a 8 9 client you are bound by our code to ensure that 10 you're working with people that you know and 11 understand are who they say they are.

12 Now, that transcends into business purposes, 13 obviously. And when you look at the profession, 14 you can find immense business processes in the 15 profession with respect to clients. Some of it 16 is driven out of the need to know in order to be able to do our craft. So in other words, if 17 18 you're going to work with somebody with respect 19 to tax, you can't work knowing part of their tax 20 picture, you have to know their entire tax 21 picture because the Canadian tax laws will 22 require you to understand things like associated 23 corporations. So you can't have half the 24 picture; you have to have all the picture. 25

That's just one small example of the types

| Miche | | weel (for the commission) | 36 |
|-------|---|---|----------|
| 1 | | of work that we do and why we have to know our | |
| 2 | | clients well in order to be able to do our work | • |
| 3 | Q | Okay. Thank you. Does CPA Canada gather | |
| 4 | | statistics on the percentage of accountants tha | .t |
| 5 | | engage in triggering activities? | |
| 6 | A | (MWT) No. | |
| 7 | Q | Is there a limit on the quantity of cash an | |
| 8 | | accountant can accept either for fees or to fun | d |
| 9 | | a transaction? | |
| 10 | A | (MWT) Not that I am aware of. | |
| 11 | Q | Does CPA Canada have a view and whether there | |
| 12 | | should be such a limit? | |
| 13 | A | (MWT) Well, I think, again, I look at the issue | <u>!</u> |
| 14 | | and I look to my experience in terms of the | |
| 15 | | profession. I think that what we have is we | |
| 16 | | have a code that speaks to the principles that | |
| 17 | | right off the bat, if you are accepting any for | m |
| 18 | | of payment, cash, cryptocurrency, you name it, | |
| 19 | | virtual currency, anything that is anything | |
| 20 | | other than bona fide, you are already having | |
| 21 | | problems with the code because the code is | |
| 22 | | saying you shouldn't be doing it. So the | |
| 23 | | principles of the code remain true. It's the | |
| 24 | | foundation of the profession, and we have it. | |
| 25 | | If we were to look towards something that | is |

more pointed as a rule, that certainly could be introduced. It's not to say that the principles of the code are not applying. They are. It's just that you may choose to move to a pointed rule with respect to cash.

Now, my own experience, and these are my own and that's what I can relate to you as opposed to surveys and such, is the fact that the majority of the work that the profession does in the realm of public practice does not actually relate to specific assets and does not actually relate to the specific management of assets.

13 Now, there are parts of the profession that 14 that's an every day core business. Insolvency 15 and bankruptcy is one of those areas of the 16 profession where it is routine. But for much of 17 what we consider to be public practice, that is 18 not something that is sort of a core process of 19 what the profession's work it is in Canada. 20 You speak to the code in the context of receipt Q 21 of cash or dealing with assets. Is there a 22 section of the code that specifically requires 23 an accountant to determine the source of funds 24 they are receiving or the source of cash they 25 are receiving?

(MWT) No. And I think Mr. McGuire in his report 1 А 2 had identified that in the IESBA code, the 3 international code, there is a feature within 4 the rule that we have also around handling the 5 property of others where you determine source of funds in the international code. We currently 6 7 have a mapping project that is underway simply because the international code has really been 8 9 changed significantly in the last couple 10 of years through a major restructuring and 11 revision. So the mapping exercise is literally 12 a process of comparing provision by provision in 13 Canada versus the international code and looking 14 at where the differences lie. That particular 15 difference of course came up on our review and 16 is under consideration.

Q Okay. Thank you. One of the things that CPA Canada has done to promote compliance with AML reporting obligations and an understanding of those obligations was to compile a guide to comply with Canada's anti-money laundering AML legislation; is that correct?

23 A (MWT) That's correct.

24 MR. McGOWAN: Madam Registrar, that --

25 Q And that guide was created in the context of --

39 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 perhaps by CPA Canada's anti-money laundering 2 and terrorist financing committee in 2014; is 3 that right? 4 А (MWT) The version that you're referring to, yes. 5 What I would like to say is that prior versions of a quide much like that existed before then. 6 7 So there was, for example, the 2008 version that 8 you received from CPABC in one of their submissions. This guide goes all the way bank 9 10 to 2002 and it was put together by legacy 11 professional bodies before unification to assist 12 the members with their reporting 13 responsibilities under the act. 14 And was the 2014 version a fairly significant 0 15 expansion and update? 16 (MWT) It was an update in relation to the А 17 changes that had occurred. 18 Okay. I'd like to ask you a little bit about Q 19 CPA Canada's anti-money laundering and terrorist 20 financing committee. That was created in 2014; 21 is that correct? 22 А That's correct under my understanding. I was 23 not a participant, but that is what I

24 understand. And there seems to be some dates of

25 '13, '14 -- around '14.

1 Okay. Do you know if the creation of this Q 2 committee was prompted by any particular concern 3 or specific criticism that had been levied 4 against the profession? (MWT) No. In fact my understanding of that 5 А would be is that, again -- and this is 6 significant in terms of the context of the 7 8 situation -- the unification of the profession 9 occurred at a different point in time in every 10 province right across the country. When we 11 brought three professions together there were 12 slightly different timelines as to when that 13 occurred.

14 In the legacy world of the professions, 15 there were AML committees existing. They had 16 already been working on this. So, for example, 17 if you look at the preface in the 2008 AML 18 guide, you will see it paying homage to the 2002 19 guide and the committee that actually wrote it 20 or that contributed to it. So I think it's 21 clear to see that the efforts of the legacy body 22 that I belong to at the time -- at the moment, I 23 guess, back in time was involved in this space 24 as early as 1999. And that's prior to the 25 legislation, which frankly tells me that, not

surprisingly, we were probably being involved in
 consultations with the federal government around
 the introduction of *PCMLTFA*.

4 So from there there were committees. And I 5 don't think it was in direct response to concerns or issues. It was the fact that this 6 was a new area, a new dimension of Canadian 7 8 responsibility and law, and we needed to understand it and we needed to work towards it. 9 10 So that's how the committee process was born. 11 The committee that you're looking at with 12 respect to 2014 and the guide was actually the 13 first committee of CPA Canada being the new 14 unified national body of the profession. 15 Okay. Thank you. And with the creation of this Q 16 body came the drafting of some terms of reference? 17

18 A (MWT) Yes.

MR. McGOWAN: Madam Registrar, I wonder if you could
please pull up the terms of reference. They're
CPAC50.

Q Those are the terms of reference that are beingdisplayed on the screen?

A (MWT) Yes.

25 MR. McGOWAN: If those could be the next exhibit,

42 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 please, Mr. Commissioner. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Very well. I think we're at 3 407, are we, Madam Registrar? 4 THE REGISTRAR: Yes, exhibit 407. 5 EXHIBIT 407: Anti-Money Laundering & Anti-Terrorist Financing Committee of the 6 Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada -7 Terms of Reference - February 2015 8 9 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. And if we could just zoom in on letter (e) under "Objectives" please. 10 One of the objectives, objective (e), was to: 11 0 12 "Assist CPA Canada with the development of 13 timely and relevant guidance and resources that will assist CPAs and CPA firms in 14 15 understanding their obligations under the 16 AML/ATF legislation and improving their level of compliance." 17 18 Was this objective borne out of a concern at the time about the level of understanding and level 19 20 of compliance by CPAs and their firms? 21 А (MWT) So here is what I have to answer to that 22 question is that my knowledge of our files 23 associated with this committee show that the 24 terms of reference had several iterations. So I 25 can't confirm for you unfortunately whether

1 paragraph (e) was there from the very first 2 version of the terms of reference or not, but 3 the discussion around the terms of reference 4 continued on for quite some period of time and 5 was augmented and looked at and discussed by the committee. So I'm unfortunately, because I 6 7 wasn't involved, unable to say if that was that there at the first writing of the terms of 8 9 reference or if that's what it eventually became. 10

11 Now, it could have become that way certainly 12 because in the committee's existence it became 13 aware of information from FINTRAC regarding 14 results associated with the level of compliance 15 of accountants and accounting firms, and that 16 might be certainly what this refers to in 17 relation to that time frame. But I can't say 18 whether it was there preceding that information 19 or not.

20 Q Okay. Thank you. We'll come to discuss some of 21 that information that was communicated by 22 FINTRAC. But before we do, I wonder if you 23 might just take a moment and generally explain 24 to the Commissioner what the -- what activities 25 that committee engaged in.

(MWT) So from my perspective in having reviewed 1 А 2 the progress of the committee, the committee 3 convened a few times over a couple of years and 4 talked about the issue of AML relative to the 5 regime itself and also relative to the profession. Part of their discussion was 6 7 certainly around creating awareness around the requirements under the regime and how best to do 8 9 that. Certainly I know they talked about the 10 ability to have things like webinars. Thev talked about the ability to have publications to 11 12 send out information to members, to ensure that 13 there was awareness or to create awareness if 14 there wasn't already. And then there was a 15 point in time as well within the committee's history where it identified that there was 16 17 information suggesting that more needed to be 18 done, and they turned their focus to that. 19 All right. And the committee, I gather, liaised Q 20 with federal partners, including the 21 public-private sector advisory committee? 22 А (MWT) I actually can't say that. All I'm aware 23 of is the fact that the chair of the CPA Canada 24 AML committee, Matthew McGuire, who appeared 25 before the commission on Monday, was also an

1 individual on that committee, that committee 2 that used to exist with the federal government. 3 I think it's understandable that certainly 4 information that he was aware of from that 5 federal committee, to the extent that he was able to share that with this committee and work 6 7 towards that purpose, I'm sure he was doing that. And I think there is information within 8 the documents of the committee that reflect that 9 10 he was trying to do that. Okay. CPA Canada's anti-money laundering/ATF 11 0 12 committee started in 2013/14. Are you able to 13 tell me -- let me ask you this. My 14 understanding is that it ceased to exist sometime around 2016; is that correct? 15 16 (MWT) Yes. And that was really -- as we were А 17 refocusing our efforts with respect to the 18 federal government, the idea of the ACMLTF, the 19 Advisory Committee on Money Laundering Terrorist 20 Financing, was coming to the fore and there was 21 going to be a look at how we were going to 22 resource this particular area of work and the 23 need to go forward in a different way, including 24 more committees to be part of at the federal

level.

25

Okay. So it doesn't -- the disbanding of that 1 Q 2 committee, I gather from what you've said, doesn't reflect a lack of continued engagement 3 4 on the issue of AML; is that fair? (MWT) Certainly not. And if anything, if I 5 А could say -- and I know my colleague, José, may 6 have something to say -- the timing of the 7 activity and the federal regime as we have 8 witnessed it came alive literally in 2016/2017. 9 10 A certain amount of that, I'm sure, was as relates to the FATF review of Canada, and I 11 12 think that there were things that Canada as a 13 country had identified, there were things that 14 needed to be done better. And the federal 15 government, you know, was coming up with a plan 16 as to what that was going to be.

17 So from 2016 forward, José would've been 18 there at 2016 at one point. I came in in 2017. 19 It has been an extraordinary investment of time 20 and resources with respect to the regime and the 21 efforts of the public-private sector to work 22 towards it.

(JH) And if I may, Michele, Mr. McGowan,with your permission.

25 Q Yes.

1 I mean, in 2016 was the year where the А (JH) 2 FATF review was coming online, so we had some 3 preliminary findings. That's the year I joined 4 I think in the spring. And my view was that 5 there were a lot of significant items in that review of Canada, but the most pressing issues 6 that were obvious, it related to three areas. 7 8 You know, the lack of prosecution, meaning lack 9 of accountability in the regime; beneficial 10 ownership; and the third one was sort of lack of 11 whistle-blowing. And I put that hat on and 12 perhaps my experience with advising boards of 13 large companies in dealing with white collar 14 crime in general.

So what I took back to CPA Canada under the leadership of CPA Canada, Julie Tomlinson and others, I proposed to them that this is an area not because accountants were an issue but because accountants could enable -- better business could enable many things to put certain views together.

And so starting in 2017 we put things down on paper and we persisted on a number of items that we thought really address the core issues that in our view could strengthen the regime in

| 1 | Canada. So addressing the highest priority, the |
|----|---|
| 2 | highest risk areas rather than focusing on |
| 3 | technical requirements that may look good on |
| 4 | paper, you know, may turn into be burdensome |
| 5 | to business. And as you've seen from '17, '18, |
| 6 | '19 we've been very active under the leadership |
| 7 | of Michele, Heather and others at CPA Canada and |
| 8 | very committed and very engaged to get this |
| 9 | right, again, because of our public trust |
| 10 | mandate but also because we can be great |
| 11 | enablers in this process. |
| 12 | Q Thank you. |
| 13 | MR. McGOWAN: Madam Registrar, I wonder if we could |
| 14 | display exhibit 393. |
| 15 | Q This is the guide to comply with Canada's |
| 16 | anti-money laundering legislation we've been |
| 17 | discussing? Ms. Wood-Tweel? |
| 18 | A (MWT) I think so. Yes, it's certainly the |
| 19 | guide. It's a question of which year it is, but |
| 20 | yes, it's definitely the guide. |
| 21 | MR. McGOWAN: Can we flip forward two pages, please, |
| 22 | Madam Registrar. |
| 23 | A (MWT) That is the 2014 guide. |
| 24 | Q Thank you. And I was as I look through it, I |
| 25 | noted that including the forms and checklists |

1 that are included, the guide is 145 pages long.
2 Does that -- is that an indication that there's
3 some complexity associated with the accountants'
4 obligations and their -- and the need to
5 understand them?

(MWT) Yes. Well, I think there is. I think 6 А 7 there's complexity in relation to understanding what is about this AML regime. That's why I go 8 9 back to the basics and that's why I've gone back to the basics myself; right? If you really look 10 at what the regime is about, it's about 11 12 protecting the financial system in Canada. And 13 with respect to that, when you look at our 14 profession, it relates to those triggering 15 activities and it is focused on the times in 16 which we may become financial intermediaries 17 with the financial system itself. If you take 18 away all the other complexity from it, that is 19 the basis and the core of the responsibility 20 that has been sculpted into Canada's 21 legislation. From there we go forward, then, to 22 look at some of other things that create some of 23 the complexity.

24QOkay. Thank you. If we could please turn to25page 20 of the guide. I'm looking at the

José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 numbers in the top corner of the page. I'm 2 looking at 3.1.3.1 "Establishing Reasonable Grounds For Suspicion." And I'll just read it 3 4 out. 5 "According to AML Legislation, Accountants 6 and Accounting Firms are required to report to FINTRAC, using the prescribed 7 8 form, every financial transaction that 9 occurs or is attempted in the course of 10 Triggering Activities and in respect of 11 which there are reasonable grounds to 12 suspect that the transaction is related to 13 the commission or the attempted commission 14 of (a) a money laundering offence; or (b) 15 terrorist activity financing offence" 16 Now, that -- it sounds on my reading like a fairly broad obligation, but I gather it's the 17 inclusion of the words "in the course of 18 19 triggering activities" that substantially limits 20 that reporting obligation. Is that a fair 21 understanding? 22 (MWT) Yes. А 23 Q If we could just flip forward, please, to 24 page 23. I'm looking at 3.1.3.3 at the bottom

25 of the page. Thank you.

51 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 "In its Guideline 2 in respect to 2 suspicious transaction reports, FINTRAC provides a number of indicators about 3 4 which Accountants and Accounting Firms 5 should be vigilant. The presence of an 6 indicator is one factor which may lead to the consideration of a suspicious 7 8 transaction report, but by itself is not definitive." 9 10 MR. McGOWAN: And if we could scroll down please, 11 Madam Registrar, to page 24. 12 And there are a number of factors listed such as 0 13 a client appearing to live beyond their means or 14 a client having cheques inconsistent with sales 15 or a company paying unusual consultation fees to 16 offshore companies and companies invoicing by 17 organizations located in the country that 18 doesn't have adequate money laundering laws. 19 Are these and the other factors listed the 20 types of indicators that you continue to train 21 your members to look for when assessing whether 22 a transaction is suspicious? 23 (MWT) Well, I think the -- first of all a number Α 24 of these that you've just read out, I can't 25 speak to every one of them but you will find

these consistently around the globe. It's what the UK talks to, it's what FATF talks to, et cetera. So there's a consensus around the types of things that an accountant might face that might relate to a suspicious transaction or depending on the jurisdiction a suspicious activity report like the UK.

8 Relative to what we train for, we train for many different things, and training includes 9 professional skepticism and a very high level of 10 professional skepticism. So, in other words, if 11 12 you are going to provide me with information 13 that on its face I believe does not resonate 14 with what makes sense, I have to probe further 15 to understand what is it. If you appear to be 16 living beyond your means, which from a financial 17 perspective we can actually figure out fairly 18 quickly in a lot of times if we have the right 19 information, again that's my signal to be 20 probing further.

21 So when you say do we train towards it, we 22 train towards it as the very essence actually of 23 being a chattered professional accountant 24 because part of what we do is bring a healthy 25 dose of professional skepticism to everything,

1 and it doesn't take, with respect, sentences 2 like these to tell us that there are things that 3 might be wrong that we need to ask questions 4 about or not associate with. 5 But these are helpful. They're prompts and they're very helpful and globally, as I 6 mentioned, they are definitely in use around the 7 world. 8 9 Okay. And I gather the observation of these Q 10 factors in conjunction with other information that gave rise to suspicion would only result in 11 12 an obligation to report if the professional 13 accountant was engaged in a triggering activity. 14 (MWT) Right. Because, again, Canada's regime А 15 has focused on suspicious transaction reporting 16 and it has focused on, with respect to 17 accountants, the intermediary-type 18 responsibility that arises in dealing with the 19 financial system, which again is not core 20 necessarily to the business that we do, which is 21 public accounting. It can happen, but it's not 22 as common as one might think by reading some of 23 the information.

24 Q As you know, Mr. McGuire testified before the 25 commission earlier this week, and he expressed

- 1 the view that the guide is now outdated and 2 needing of updating. Do you agree with that, 3 and can you give the Commissioner any insight 4 into whether any efforts to update the guide are 5 underway?
- (MWT) Yes, so there are a significant number of 6 А regulations that will be become effective on 7 June 1st, 2021. Several of them actually apply 8 9 to CPAs. And as you may be aware, we had -- a very large first package of regulations came in 10 11 2019, effective 2021. Some within it effective 12 earlier. And then a second package occurred in 13 early 2020 and was approved by the time of the 14 summer, as I recall it.

15 At that point we actually then hired our 16 writers with respect to the manuscript. The manuscript is in draft. It will be reviewed, it 17 will be translated and it will be available to 18 19 the membership in the spring of 2021. And part 20 of what we're doing as well is working in 21 concert with the guidance information that is 22 coming from FINTRAC as FINTRAC is updating all 23 of the guides associated with the regime because 24 of these regulatory changes that I mentioned have occurred in 2019 and 2020, effective 2021. 25

1 Q Thank you.

2 (JH) And, Mr. McGowan, if I could add. Not just А 3 at the guide level, but many steps beforehand we 4 were quite engaged to make sure there's clarity 5 on the rules to void undue complexity and to ensure that these things will be effective 6 7 particularly in Michele's role, and whether it's 8 for the advisory committee on money laundering 9 on the regulation side but also in CPA Canada. And that's been a theme for us. We do not want 10 to create undue complexity. We do want a much 11 12 more effective regime.

- 13QThank you. We've heard evidence of a March 4th,142015 meeting where FINTRAC presented to CPA15Canada and raised some concerns about the level16of awareness of obligations on the part of17accountants as well as the level of compliance18with AML reporting obligations. Are you19familiar with that meeting?
- A (MWT) Yes, I am familiar by way of the minutes that I have read. I was not a member at the time of that committee, didn't participate. So yes, I certainly am aware of what the committee heard according to the minutes, yes.

25 Q Okay. Yes. And I gather that information --

1 and correct me if I'm wrong -- was based, at 2 least in part, on 44 compliance examinations 3 conducted by FINTRAC between 2012 and 2015. Is 4 that a fair understanding based on your view? (MWT) Yes. I think there was information that 5 А had been alluded to in FINTRAC's annual report 6 7 maybe of 2014. I'm not sure of the exact year, but 2014 or 2015. And then there were some --8 there was some information, I gather, that had 9 10 been acquired that related to examinations, so 11 yes.

12QI gather from your evidence that the legacy13organizations and CPA Canada had been working on14education and awareness as it relates to AML15reporting obligations for some time. Was CPA16Canada surprised about the concerns expressed by17FINTRAC relating to the level of compliance and18understanding of its membership?

19A(MWT) I can't speak to a level of surprise.20Like I said, I wasn't in the meeting so I wasn't21participating. But what I would say is that22it's concerning when we hear that, you know,23members may not be aware, et cetera. So that is24a significant focus of our efforts.

25 I would suggest to you that it's been a

1 significant focus of our efforts basically, like 2 I said, dating back to these other guides, these 3 other pieces of information that have gone out, and I think that it's a concerted effort that is 4 5 required. The journey associated with this regime and the responsibilities of those that 6 are within it, including us, is going to be long 7 haul in Canada. For sure. And I think we have 8 to execute on a number of different levels to 9 ensure that we do have awareness. 10 Thank you. I gather during this presentation a 11 0 12 PowerPoint presentation was delivered. 13 MR. McGOWAN: Madam Registrar, if we could please 14 pull up CPA Canada's document 51. 15 From your review of the records, have you seen Q 16 this PowerPoint presentation before, Ms. Wood-Tweel? 17 18 (MWT) Yes, I have. А 19 This is a presentation that was presented by 0 20 FINTRAC to CPA Canada on March 4th, 2015? 21 А (MWT) Correct. 22 MR. McGOWAN: If we could please turn -- some of the 23 pages are numbered, Madam Registrar, and some of 24 them aren't. But I'm going to ask that we turn 25 to page 12, please. Inconveniently a page that

- 1 doesn't have a page number, although it is 2 entitled "Examination Results in the Accountants Sector." Has a chart on it. This is the one. 3 4 Q And this is, I gather, a depiction of the level 5 of compliance identified during compliance reviews conducted by FINTRAC. Is that your 6 7 understanding? (MWT) Yes, that's what I understand. 8 А 9 Okay. And I wonder if you could just, if you're Q 10 able to, go through and explain what each of the requirements identified on the left of the chart 11 12 are for the Commissioner. Starting with client 13 ID and moving down the page. 14 (MWT) Right. So the responsibility to ID a А 15 client under triggering activities, to keep 16 records, a two-year review of the compliance 17 program that is existing in the firm, training 18 for staff, as an example. The risk assessment, 19 to look at the nature of the practice and the 20 types of risks that the practice bears. I'm not 21 sure I'm a hundred percent on P&Ps and CO. Ιf
- you could just tell me what those refer to, I
 would be able to respond. I'm just not sure
 what people's shorthand is.

25 Q If I knew, I would be happy to tell you, but I

1 don't. I'm sorry.

2 (MWT) I apologize. It's just I haven't taken Α 3 the acronyms into my brain yet about P&Ps and 4 COs. But I think -- the point, I think, of the 5 chart is and this is the take away for me when I looked at it is that it's clear that certain 6 things were going well and people were 7 8 understanding their responsibilities and things they needed to be doing and they were keeping up 9 with the requirements of the regime, and then in 10 11 other areas there weren't that same level of 12 success. So clearly room for improvement.

13That's what I took from this. And I also14found the same types of commentary was in the152016 FATF report with respect to the16profession's awareness of responsibility. So17took that under advisement in both cases.

(JH) I'm taking a stab. It may be -- P&P
may be policies and procedures, and CO,
compliance organization.

(MWT) There you go. Thank you, José.
Q And in CPA Canada's effort to address the need
for improvement one of the things it did was
prepare an alert for delivery to its members?
A (MWT) Yes. So the committee -- my understanding

1 both in terms of looking at the minutes and 2 having had an understanding of the flow of the timeline was that the committee became aware of 3 4 this information and I think was quite happy to 5 have received it because it was insightful and it was allowing them to target their efforts. 6 7 And they discussed it and considered it and the committee then decided that they would like to 8 9 communicate directly to the membership to 10 explain the nature of the deficiencies and the 11 fact that more needed to be done and to put 12 notice out into the profession that we have to 13 be aware of what our responsibilities are. So a combination of staff of CPA Canada and 14 15 also members of the committee worked towards the 16 alert that was issued in -- I think it was 17 July of 2015. 18 Yes. Thank you. Q 19 MR. McGOWAN: If we could pull up, please, 20 exhibit 397. 21 Q And you'll just see on the first page it's --22 you are correct -- a July 2015 alert. 23 А (MWT) M'mm-hmm. 24 Looking at the first paragraph: Q

"The Financial Transactions and Reports

José Hernandez (for the commission) 61 Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 Analysis Centre of Canada (FINTRAC), the 2 regulator in charge of Canada's anti-money 3 laundering and anti-terrorist financing 4 (AML & ATF) regime, has indicated to the Chartered Professional Accountants of 5 6 Canada (CPA Canada) that the accounting sector's AML & ATF compliance efforts 7 8 require improvement." 9 And that's the message to your membership, that they need to do better; is that fair? 10 11 (MWT) Yes. А 12 If we move down to the bottom paragraph on that 0 13 page, please. I'm just going to read the first 14 sentence of that. "The AML & ATF regime faces many 15 16 challenges in its fight against money 17 laundering and the financing of 18 terrorists. The accounting sector plays a 19 very important role in this battle given 20 the nature of its work" 21 What role do your members play in that battle? (MWT) well, I think there's two critically 22 А 23 important ones. One is obviously sculpted under 24 the legislation as reporting entities under the 25 legislation. We have responsibilities to comply

1 with the triggering activities, et cetera, so 2 clearly we're there because we matter. So that's 3 one of the ways in which we are important to the 4 battle. But the other way is because we are 5 obviously in the public interest working towards the security of the financial system in Canada 6 7 at large and the capital system. That's part of our role in the work that we do every day in our 8 9 craft.

10 Thank you. Flipping over to the third page of 0 the document, halfway down the page under --11 12 just below the numbered list. Yes. And in this 13 alert one of the things it appears you're urging 14 your members to do in this paragraph that I've 15 pointed out is urging your members to do better, 16 and two of the areas that FINTRAC specifically 17 identified, that being mandatory two-year effectiveness reviews and risk assessment and 18 19 effective risk mitigation plans?

20 A (MWT) Correct.

21 Q I wonder if you could just take a moment and 22 explain to the Commissioner what each of those 23 two items are.

A (MWT) Well, in the first there is a requirement under the regime that you have to have a process

1 within a firm, within an accounting firm, and 2 you have to review your compliance process in 3 relation to the regime on a two-year basis. So 4 you're coming back to look at it. It's, I 5 think, from the point of view of the usefulness of it, it's to put some fresh eyes back on it to 6 7 make sure that you're doing the right things and that obviously there is a component of risk 8 associated with that, that you're addressing the 9 10 risks that are presented to you relative to who your clients are or where you're operating or 11 12 the type of work that you're doing because risks 13 can come from different areas or pockets.

14 And then the risk assessment itself is to 15 evaluate the risks that are being faced with 16 respect to the reporting entity and to think through, then, what the effective risk 17 18 mitigation plans are in respect of those risks 19 related to the work and to the nature of the 20 clients and also perhaps geography. There's a 21 number of things that will play into the risk 22 assessment that the firm needs to evaluate and 23 also establish how they will mitigate.

Q Okay. Has CPA Canada taken steps to assess
whether its membership has improved their

1compliance in these two respects since this2alert was issued?3A(MWT) In a direct way no, and I think what we

4 have done is continued to collaborate with, 5 obviously, the regulators within the system, the regime by participating in these federal 6 committees and we've also continued to 7 8 communicate with our members to ensure that the level of awareness of the regime and also the 9 importance of AML in Canada is understood and 10 11 remains top of mind.

12 (JH) And, Mr. McGowan, if I could add. I 13 think that same FINTRAC presentation suggested 14 or stated that the risk posed by the accounting 15 sector was considered by them to be low. I may 16 be incorrect, but I thought that -- I recall --17 I was not at that meeting obviously, but I 18 recall that there were some compliance 19 exceptions that triggered the alert, but on the 20 other hand they seemed to have stated -- I was 21 not at that meeting -- that the risk of the 22 sector was deemed by them to be low. 23 0 Okay. Do you feel it's important for CPA Canada

24to have a lens into the degree to which the25membership is responding to feedback like this

1 in improving its understanding and compliance? 2 (MWT) Well, I think -- what I think about as I Α 3 move forward with where we are today when we 4 look at the 2021 guide coming out and our 5 efforts with respect to rolling out the educational plans and the communications is that 6 7 I think that we need through our process to develop some feedback loops to be able to hear 8 9 back from the membership around what they're 10 experiencing with respect to either their 11 awareness or their problems or their questions 12 or their compliance issues.

13 It's not to be forgotten that FINTRAC, being 14 the entity that was created under the 15 legislation as the regulator, also has the 16 ability to provide answers to questions that the 17 firms might have. It also provides information 18 that is useful to the firms. So I think what we 19 need to do is to balance our efforts to be able 20 to essentially work together with the regulator, 21 being FINTRAC, in order to ensure that members 22 are aware and getting the best kind of 23 information to enable them to do their best job. 24 Thank you. Has FINTRAC since this alert was Q 25 issued provided you information that lends

| | Miche | ele | Wood- | z (for the commission) Iweel (for the commission) cGowan | 66 |
|-----|-------|-----|-------|--|----|
| 1 | L | | | insight into whether the membership has improv | ed |
| 2 | 2 | | | their understanding and compliance in respect | of |
| (.) | 3 | | | the two items identified in the alert? | |
| Z | 1 | | A | (MWT) They gave no specific information to the | |
| | 5 | | | profession. | |
| 6 | 5 | | MR. | McGOWAN: Thank you. We can move on from that | |
| - | 7 | | | exhibit, Madam Registrar. | |
| 8 | 3 | | Q | One of the ways FINTRAC might assess the level | |
| 0 | 9 | | | of compliance, I gather, is compliance | |
| 1(|) | | | examinations? | |
| 11 | L | | A | (MWT) Yes. | |
| 12 | 2 | | Q | Maybe you can tell the Commissioner what a | |
| 13 | 3 | | | compliance examination is. | |
| 14 | 1 | | A | (MWT) It's to look at the ways in which the | |
| 15 | 5 | | | reporting entity has complied with the | |
| 10 | 5 | | | requirements under the regime. So, for exampl | e, |
| 17 | 7 | | | do they have the training in place; do they ha | ve |
| 18 | 3 | | | someone identified as the person who is going | to |
| 19 | 9 | | | be the coordinator of this within their | |
| 20 |) | | | particular firm; are they doing the reviews. | |
| 21 | L | | | These are done in different ways. They can be | |
| 22 | 2 | | | done and I'm sure they are right now with | |
| 23 | 3 | | | COVID-19 being done by essentially desk, but | |
| 24 | 1 | | | they also can be done in person, and there is | an |
| 25 | 5 | | | entire guide associated with the review proces | s. |

13

1 Okay. Have you been provided or obtained Q 2 information about the number of compliance 3 reviews that are conducted by FINTRAC on an 4 annual basis of your membership? 5 А (MWT) No. The commission has before it some evidence to 6 0 7 suggest that in 2009 there were 48 reviews 8 conducted. In each of the other years between 2008 and 2014 there was a maximum of 25 and at 9 least one year there was no examinations. 10 Is 11 that level of investigation sufficient, in your 12 view, to lend insight into the level of

14 (MWT) Well, first of all, some context there. А 15 It's not the 220,000 members. That's the total 16 membership of the profession, 20 percent of 17 which is involved in public practice and then 18 there would be a fraction of them that might be 19 involved in triggering activities. So we have 20 to sort of narrow down the scope of who we're 21 talking about.

compliance for your 220,000 members?

22 But my understanding throughout the regime 23 in Canada is that Canada's regime taking the 24 lead from what has been also the international 25 position is that the entire system should be

1 risk based. So FINTRAC is the recipient of 2 information that only FINTRAC can see. I can't 3 see what they can see. They can see the 4 information they're getting, and the information 5 they're getting I am sure is leading information because they're seeing where the problems are, 6 7 they're seeing where the issues are. From that, using their risk-based analysis, I'm sure they 8 9 deploy their resources in respect of that. 10 From CPA Canada's perspective one of the things 0 11 that is an unknown is the percentage of your 12 membership that engages in triggering 13 activities; is that fair? 14 That would be correct. And as I А (MWT) 15 mentioned previously, my working methodology 16 myself is to go with my basis and understanding 17 of the type of work that the profession does. 18 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I think I 19 neglected to ask that the PowerPoint 20 presentation be marked as an exhibit. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be the next 22 exhibit, which I think is 408, Madam Registrar. 23 THE REGISTRAR: That's correct, Mr. Commissioner. 24 Exhibit 408.

EXHIBIT 408: FINTRAC presentation - Anti-Money

| | ood-T | (for the commission) 69 weel (for the commission) Gowan |
|----|-------|---|
| 1 | | Laundering and Anti - Terrorism Financing in |
| 2 | | Canada (CPA Canada) - March 4, 2015 |
| 3 | MR. | McGOWAN: |
| 4 | Q | Does CPA Canada engage in any sort of analogous |
| 5 | | effort to audit compliance or investigate |
| 6 | | compliance of its membership? |
| 7 | А | (MWT) No. CPA Canada is not a regulator and has |
| 8 | | no mandate for regulation. The regulation is |
| 9 | | carried out by the provincial bodies according |
| 10 | | to their provincial statutes. |
| 11 | Q | And provincial bodies will engage in practice |
| 12 | | reviews of their members? |
| 13 | A | (MWT) Provincial bodies do have practice |
| 14 | | reviews, yes, associated with public accounting |
| 15 | | services. |
| 16 | Q | Are you aware of whether any of the provinces' |
| 17 | | regulators as part of the practice reviews |
| 18 | | assess compliance with the AML obligations? |
| 19 | A | (MWT) I am not. The one of the things that |
| 20 | | we do as the profession is we try to work |
| 21 | | together, as I mentioned at sort of the outset |
| 22 | | of the questioning today, in relation to coming |
| 23 | | to harmonized processes as best as we can. And |
| 24 | | they're not always possible, but as best as we |
| 25 | | can. The practice review program is one of |

1 those harmonized process. So from coast to 2 coast as you look at a small firm, big firm, 3 middle-sized firm, Main Street, downtown, 4 wherever, the practitioner should be seeing the 5 same type of practice inspection taking place. It does not include anti-money laundering 6 because the focus of the review is professional 7 standards and associated with generally accepted 8 9 accounting standards and generally accepted audit assurance standards. 10

11 Q Thank you. We've spoken about the feedback 12 received from FINTRAC, and one of the other 13 pieces of feedback that you alluded to in your 14 evidence, or perhaps it is Dr. Hernandez, was 15 the 2016 fourth mutual evaluation findings that 16 were published by the FATF in 2016.

17 A (MWT) Yes.

18 Q And my understanding is that amongst that 19 feedback was the observation of the FATF that 20 the accountants' level of awareness of AMLCTF 21 obligations was low, and further, they expressed 22 concern about the fact that few or no STRs had 23 been filed by accountants. You're familiar with 24 that feedback?

25 A (MWT) Yes, I am.

- 1 Q What did CPA Canada do to respond to that 2 feedback and -- if anything, in an attempt to 3 improve compliance of its membership in those 4 areas?
- (MWT) So I actually can't tell you with respect 5 А to where that information was actually obtained 6 from. I read the report like you did. I don't 7 know who spoke to FATF. I've never been able to 8 9 confirm whether that was a committee member, was it a staff person. It's not clear to me who 10 provided the insight and on what basis. With 11 12 respect to the report itself, I can tell you 13 from my own perspective what it meant to me 14 because, as I was taking up this role in 2017, 15 the very first thing that I actually did was looked at the FATF 2016 mutual evaluation of 16 17 Canada and coming across the information was 18 concerned and perplexed. So my concern relative 19 to -- and there's obviously all kinds of issues 20 associated with that report and improvements for 21 Canada.

22 But, you know, one of the them was in 23 relation to accountants and their awareness and 24 STRs. So STRs was one the ones that I 25 investigated, first off, to try and understand

what is the issue associated with STRs and how
 does it look compared to other places. So that
 was one of my beginning points.

4 0 Okay. Let's talk about STRs because it's one of 5 the issues that was raised. And I've taken a look at the numbers from 2011 to 2018. 6 The 7 commission's been provided some information 8 about that. And in each of those years with the exception of 2016 there was either zero or one 9 10 STR filed across the country, 2016 being the 11 high watermark in that period with three STRs 12 filed. It strikes me that's a relatively small 13 number and likely what gave rise to expression 14 of concern on the part of the FATF. Do those 15 levels of reporting cause concern for CPA 16 Canada?

(MWT) Well, I think what I've tried to do is, 17 А 18 when you say "concern," consciousness. I'm very 19 conscious of that information and it's on my 20 mind. When I look at the information -- when 21 you use the word "low," I also wouldn't want the 22 number to be high. And the reason I wouldn't 23 want the number to be high is because I would 24 not want to think that we've got members 25 engaging in activities in such volume,

1 triggering activities in such volume that they 2 are seeing such numbers with respect to 3 suspicious activity in relation to their work 4 because it starts to make me question how did 5 they accept the engagement in the first place to be involved. 6 7 And I go back to the code and say that there are a variety of reasons and ways in which the 8 assessment should be done that that CPA knows 9 10 the type of work that's going on. And so I do say that I would not want to see that number 11 12 being high. Is the number what the number 13 should be. I'm not a hundred percent sure of 14 that. And I guess the only way we would ever 15 know that is to actually be the practitioners 16 themselves. But for the point of view of saying 17 awareness might improve the level of reporting, 18 I'm all for that, a hundred percent, in saying 19 that we need to ensure that the level of 20 awareness is there so that if a report needs to 21 be made, it's done and it's not that at report 22 isn't being made because we don't know that it's 23 supposed to be.

24 Q Right. The reporting obligation arises not just 25 in respect of transactions that are completed

Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 but transactions that are attempted; fair? 2 (MWT) Right. Α 3 0 So in your view would that include circumstances 4 where a client's attempts to engage the 5 accountant in a transaction but the accountant, because of the professional obligations, 6 7 recognizes the suspicious circumstances and 8 declines to complete the transaction? 9 (MWT) I have to unpack that one, in part, А because you have to go to activity in the first 10 11 place. The triggering activity. So if you are 12 performing a triggering activity, you then are 13 already into the place within the regime that 14 you have a reporting requirement whether the 15 transaction is completed or not. 16 Okay. Thank you. Has CPA undertaken any Q investigation or activity to assess whether 17 18 there are a significant number of transactions 19 or additional transactions that ought to be 20 reported that aren't being reported? 21 А (MWT) No. 22 Q When you look at these numbers does it appear to 23 you as if there must be transactions or 24 attempted transactions that fall within the 25 reporting regime that are not being reported to

José Hernandez (for the commission)

1 FINTRAC?

A (MWT) I don't have that reaction to it. So I do not look at it and say there's a number and it must be wrong. I take the number on its face and I take the information on its face that we have to improve awareness, and I say, then, that's what we have to do.

8 Like I said, you know, part of my mindset around this is the fact that I think about the 9 nature of the work that we do. I think about 10 11 the nature of the services that we provide that 12 might interact with triggering activities. And 13 as I mentioned one already, insolvency and 14 bankruptcy pursuant to the Bankruptcy & 15 Insolvency Act. And then I think about what are 16 all the other services that might happen where these triggering events may be occurring, and I 17 don't think it is core to the Canadian 18 19 profession.

And I think one of the things that -- one of the ways in which I have started to evaluate that in terms of my thinking about it is that I have looked at other jurisdictions. So as an example, when you go to other places where it may be possible as an example for an accountant

to be involved in services like forming a
corporation or creating trusts or partnerships,
when you look at, in the UK, this entire group
of people, many of whom are in the accounting
services in the UK called trust and company
service providers, we don't have an equivalent
here in Canada in my view.

8 So I've tried to understand in -- relative 9 to our scope of practice the work that we do, 10 the nature of the services that we provide our 11 clients, how common are triggering activities in 12 my view, and obviously minus the exceptions that 13 are in the regulations and go from there 14 thinking about what I'm seeing.

15 Now, if you've been incredibly aware and if 16 you have been fastidious about how you've 17 gone -- entered into business with clients, 18 there may never be a point that you see a 19 suspicious transaction, to be quite frank. And 20 maybe we are all lucky in our careers if we 21 don't see them. But I am also aware of saying that there is a number that could be too low and 22 23 there is a number that I don't want to see to be 24 too high because that would make me worry about 25 other things.

1So where we are in that middle, I really2can't tell you per se in terms of a fast and3hard number. And awareness, I think, is where4we get to finding more of -- whether there's5more to be reported or not.6QIn your assessment is there a realistic

7 possibility that not a single one of your
8 members over the course of a year in some years
9 was connected with an attempted transaction that
10 rose to the level of engaging the reporting
11 requirements?

(MWT) Well, the only thing I can tell you is 12 А 13 that -- and this becomes very personal, but the 14 truth is I'm 37 years in the profession and I've 15 never been involved in one, and I've done a lot 16 of things. So as I look at it myself and I say, 17 is it possible? Yes, it's possible. Is it 18 absolutely the right answer? I have nothing to 19 say that it would be the right answer. Is there 20 the possibility that people weren't aware of 21 something that they saw in order to be able to 22 even think about it to say something about it? 23 Absolutely.

24 So as I say, I can't reconcile specific 25 numbers as being low or high. My intent in

| 1 | | relation to all of this is to ensure that people |
|----|-----|--|
| 2 | | are basically armed with the information that |
| 3 | | they need in order to make the decisions that |
| 4 | | they are supposed to make under the regime. |
| 5 | Q | Okay. The FATF and FINTRAC expressed concern to |
| 6 | | CPA Canada about the level of reporting; is that |
| 7 | | fair? |
| 8 | A | (MWT) Yes, I think you could say that. |
| 9 | Q | And in response to that CPA Canada undertook |
| 10 | | efforts to improve the level of understanding |
| 11 | | and the level of reporting? |
| 12 | A | (MWT) Correct. |
| 13 | Q | And in the face of those efforts on my reading, |
| 14 | | the number of suspicious transaction reports has |
| 15 | | not gone up. Is that a fair reading of the |
| 16 | | numbers? |
| 17 | A | (MWT) Yes, that's probably true, which is why |
| 18 | | I've done as much thinking as I have about how |
| 19 | | STRs occur in Canada. |
| 20 | MR. | McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to suggest |
| 21 | | that we pause for a break now, and I'm going to |
| 22 | | ask that we take 20 minutes. One of the |
| 23 | | witnesses has a matter they need to attend to. |
| 24 | THE | COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's fine. We'll take |
| 25 | | 20 minutes at this point. Thank you. |

79 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. 1 2 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for a 20-minute recess until 11:19 a.m. Please mute 3 4 your mic and turn off your video. Thank you. 5 (WITNESSES STOOD DOWN) (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 10:59 A.M.) 6 7 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 11:19 A.M.) 8 MICHELE WOOD-TWEEL, 9 called for the commission, recalled. 10 11 JOSÉ HERNANDEZ, 12 called for the 13 commission, recalled. THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing 14 is resumed. Mr. Commissioner. 15 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes, Mr. McGowan. 17 18 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 19 EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGOWAN (continuing): 20 Q Ms. Wood-Tweel, am I correct that the -- that 21 CPA Canada makes available to its members a 22 practitioner's toolkit which provides guidance 23 on practice-related matters, including on 24 regulatory and risk management matters? I think 25 you're muted.

80 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 (MWT) My apologies. А 2 Don't worry. It happens to me at least once a 0 3 day. 4 А (MWT) Yes, there are a number of products and 5 publications that CPA Canada makes available. That is one. 6 And does that practitioner's toolkit in the 7 0 8 module dealing with regulatory and risk 9 management or elsewhere address AML reporting 10 obligations? 11 (MWT) I'm not aware that it does, and I did take А 12 in the comments by Mr. McGuire, which I thought 13 were useful. I think it would be one of the 14 things that we would look to do as we publish 15 2021 guide is look for the other areas within 16 CPA Canada's products and publications where we 17 can expand the reach of the AML information where it's relevant. 18 19 Okay. Thank you. Are you aware of whether in Q 20 BC or other provinces in Canada non-compliance 21 with FINTRAC reporting requirements would be an 22 infraction for which a member could be 23 disciplined or sanctioned? 24 (MWT) I can't speak -- in my role I can't speak А

to the regulation of the members because that's

25

1 not actually what I do. What I would certainly 2 suggest in reference to my general knowledge as 3 a member of the profession is certainly that 4 there are requirements to report things to the 5 profession, and it could include regulatory breaches. 6 7 Q Okay. Thank you. Dr. Hernandez, I want to ask 8 you a few questions about some thoughts that I 9 understand you have on suggested improvements to 10 the AML regime in British Columbia. And I 11 understand that you in conjunction with CPA 12 Canada in 2017 provided a response to Finance 13 Canada's request for input on issues related to 14 that. 15 MR. McGOWAN: And I wonder if we might just bring up 16 CPAC14, please. 17 Dr. Hernandez, were you involved in authoring 0 18 this correspondence? 19 (JH) Yes. Α 20 And then have I correctly characterized it that Q 21 it was a response to a request by Canada for 22 input? 23 (JH) Yes. With the additional detail that we А 24 had started a discussion with -- particularly

following the FATF results. We had communicated

- 1 to Finance Canada that -- you know, that we had 2 some ideas on matters which could strengthen the 3 overall regime. And then it happened that there 4 was a request for consultation, so there was an 5 avenue to formalize that input. Okay. And what --6 Ο 7 А This is the letter that formalizes the input. And what was it that Canada had -- Finance 8 Q 9 Canada had requested? 10 А (JH) They were looking for overall improvements. They were looking at, you know, proceeds of 11 12 money launderers finance in the law, but they 13 had tried to look at how do we respond to the 14 FATF review. And we took this opportunity to 15 lay out the pillars for a successful regime and particularly for me being a Canadian but working 16 17 abroad, trying to bring those best practices to 18 our nation. 19 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, this is appendix V to 20 the background report that was filed. 21 Q So, Dr. Hernandez, we have this before the 22 Commissioner, but I wonder if you might just 23 take a moment and outline the pillars that you 24 communicated to Finance Canada in this
- 25 correspondence.

1 (JH) Okay. It would be useful perhaps to go to А 2 the next page so that --3 0 I'll allow you to direct Madam Registrar where 4 to go. 5 (JH) So maybe start right there. So if I А read -- so our view was, you know, we are 6 dedicated and focused on public trust. We are 7 8 taking note, in the first paragraph, of the FATF 9 assessment on Canada's regime. 10 The next paragraph is really important 11 because regardless of triggering activities or 12 otherwise, you know, this is a real threat and a 13 major issue, not just money laundering but other 14 forms of corruption, if we take note of the 15 corruption scandals in Quebec and other parts of 16 our nation and really our reputation is 17 important.

18 In the third paragraph, you know, we outline five areas. But if I could -- the very first 19 20 one in the mentality or the mindset that came to 21 this is how do we enable businesses, 22 corporations to do better business. How do we 23 enable Canada to flourish as it should and 24 rather than allow our system to be misused. So 25 the first recommendation, point number 1, on

1 paragraph 3 was we do need to enhance 2 transparency of ownership of assets and not just 3 for the sake of filling a register that looks 4 good and make us feel comfortable at home but 5 rather to help corporations, third parties, do due diligence on each other to make sure that we 6 not -- inadvertently becoming involved in 7 activities that we should not. So that was the 8 9 very first pillar which led to many other contributions that we made to the federal 10 11 government around strengthening beneficial 12 ownership.

13 The second big pillar was really, you know, 14 looking at responsibility in general. But 15 really one of the major issues -- and I'll jump 16 to point 4 -- was law enforcement and 17 prosecution. There needs to be a real 18 deterrence factor. Filing a suspicious 19 transaction report with no consequences or not 20 being able to bring a case, not being able to 21 fine corporations, not being able to provide the 22 incentives for a more enhanced compliance program for remediation. At the end of the day 23 24 there needs to be a cost of crime; right? So we 25 can all do -- employ all these great efforts,

but we do need to strengthen law enforcement. 1 2 Point number 2 is -- but we also need to 3 feed law enforcement. We need to feed 4 information to law enforcement, whether it's 5 through suspicious transaction reporting or otherwise. So we suggested that compliance 6 7 programs as a whole are our key element but also the whistle-blowing regime. 8 9 Which gets to point number 3, how do we 10 create that incentive and a protection. Incentive for individuals to speak up and report 11 12 on matters but also having the ability of law 13 enforcement to follow up with these individuals 14 in order to bring a matter to a close. 15 And so beneficial ownership, prosecution and 16 whistle-blowing were the three pillars. And the 17 suggestion of -- there's so many good practices 18 out there. And the United States is one example 19 with their new law. I mean, for a long time 20 they had a patchwork of activities, were very 21 good at prosecuting. But, for example, now 22 they're looking into beneficial ownership and 23 having a register available for law enforcement, 24 which is a major, major step.

25 If you look in Europe, you know, we have

1 this EU anti-money laundering directive, you 2 know, bringing in registers, but we also have a 3 EU directive on whistle-blowing, so introducing 4 more safeguards. And -- but if we did those three things, in my view, and discontinued --5 perhaps go a little bit further below. It was 6 7 just an elaboration of each of these elements, but we do need a framework. And it gets to a 8 9 theme: we need to work together. It's not like one is the enemy. And, you know, we need to 10 work -- government, businesses, professions, the 11 12 public, all need to work together as a whole. 13 And we suggested a strategic framework, which 14 they were receptive to hear our views and to put 15 the ideas forward.

16 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Madam Registrar. That can 17 come down now.

18 Dr. Hernandez, I understand from materials you Q 19 provided that one the things you recommend is 20 the development of a legal framework similar to 21 those in some other countries such as the United 22 States that incentivizes organizations in the 23 public interest to self-report and cooperate 24 with law enforcement and remediate instances of 25 misconduct. I wonder if you could perhaps

elaborate on your views on that for the
 Commissioner.

3 А (JH) Thank you. I mean, for the last -- I live 4 in Europe, the first major case that I dealt with on fraud was in 2003. And mainly you know 5 the complaint in Europe is the extraterritorial 6 reach of the US regime, whether it's for fraud 7 standards, corruption standards or money 8 9 laundering statutes. One of the things that was done very, very effectively, if you look at the 10 what is the incentive to cooperate and what is 11 12 the incentive to self-police for an 13 organization. Self-report, remediate and 14 cooperate with law enforcement altogether.

15 And United States has been working on this, 16 and the SEC has the Seaboard Report that goes back a few decades. The Department of Justice 17 18 provides these incentives. So there are these 19 legal frameworks where you want a corporation to 20 self-report and cooperate but you also do not 21 want to say that you're not going to give them 22 any credit for cooperating or you're just going 23 to give the maximum fine because of the sins 24 we're committing. So you're actually promoting 25 rehabilitation and promoting a partnership to

combat these deeds and focusing on remediation,
 rehabilitation and preventing these matters from
 occurring.

4 So the principle is that there's a 5 framework, that there is an understanding and that both -- all parties, regulators, 6 7 prosecutors and corporations, work together each with own mandates. You know, a corporation has 8 9 their own governance, standards and 10 expectations, but regulators and prosecutors can't prosecute everything. So by them working 11 12 together and being able to share with the 13 incentive that you're not going to be piling on 14 everything that you can in terms levying fines 15 and penalties. That's just an opportunity for 16 our country.

Thank you. Ms. Wood-Tweel, given the concerns 17 0 18 that have been expressed about the level of 19 understanding of your membership and the level 20 of compliance with reporting requirements, has 21 your organization considered in conjunction with 22 the provincial bodies mandating some mandatory 23 AML training or education for your membership? 24 (MWT) Well, first of all, the mandatory CPD А 25 requirements that we have do contain a mandatory

portion that is ethics driven. So one of the 1 2 things that we have done in both a program that 3 was designed by CPABC and as well one that is 4 nearing completion at CPA Canada is to 5 incorporate within that mandatory ethics piece information about money laundering. So it's not 6 7 mandatory about money laundering per se, but it's going into the mandatory portion related to 8 9 ethics, which is part of the CPD. The CPD that our members have to take has to be relevant to 10 the work that they do. So if money laundering 11 12 or anti-money laundering is relevant to the work 13 that they do, then that is acceptable CPD 14 according to the profession. And we've included 15 this one piece in the ethics.

16 In your view would there be some merit perhaps Q 17 for requiring those who engage in triggering 18 activities to take some continuing education on 19 the topic of AML and reporting requirements? 20 А (MWT) I think it becomes part of a broader 21 conversation, and I mean this very respectfully. 22 It's the issue that our CPD requirements are 23 based on a foundation of professional judgment 24 that you should be taking what is relevant to 25 the work that you do. So as an example, we do

1 not mandate that if you're doing tax you have to 2 do tax. We don't mandate that if you're doing 3 insolvency you have to do insolvency. It 4 becomes a matter of professional judgment as to 5 what the CPD is required by the individual relative to their work and what they need to 6 7 accomplish within that period of time. So that would be, you know, in part the answer to it. 8 9 I think the way that we are addressing it in

10 terms of including money laundering in part of 11 the ethics which is mandatory as part of the 12 overall CPD mandatory requirements is one way to 13 in fact get at that.

14 Q Thank you. Dr. Hernandez, do you have anything15 to add?

16 (JH) Yeah, I think there's two ways of looking А 17 at it, and one is creating the push and the 18 other one is the pull. I've been invited for a 19 number of years now in a row to the annual audit 20 committee conference organized by CPA Canada and 21 to speak to directors or would-be directors 22 about, you know, what going on with white collar 23 crime, but more importantly what can they do 24 from a governance perspective.

25 I've been invited to the CP1 event in

1 Montreal when -- you know, when it used to be 2 that 2,000 people could come together in one 3 particular location at a time. So -- and then 4 speaking at different conferences. 5 So there is that element that the profession is pulling and requesting information about 6 7 these hot topics, and you have to understand that the profession tends to be -- accountants 8 tend to be risk adverse. You know, these are 9 10 not the individuals that are skydiving and bungee jumping and doing -- and wanting to 11 12 undertake a high-risk activity, so -- but 13 they're -- you know, first of all, they consider 14 this subject dirty but something that is so 15 pressing and relevant that they do need to 16 understand more.

17 So there's a lot of activities that are 18 occurring. And I'm just a volunteer. I do not 19 work for CPA Canada. That's not -- so I get 20 pulled to a number of these items, as Michele 21 and others do, because the profession wants to 22 know and wants to learn.

23 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, those are24 my questions for this panel.

25 I thank you both for taking the time to

92 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Rauch-Davis 1 answer my questions. 2 THE WITNESS: (MWT) Thank you. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. McGowan. 4 Now, Mr. Rauch-Davis on behalf of 5 Transparency International Coalition, who has been allocated 15 minutes. 6 7 MR. Rauch-DAVIS: Thank you. 8 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAUCH-DAVIS: Ms. Wood-Tweel, I'll start my questions with 9 0 you. You mentioned in brief this morning that 10 11 know-your-client obligations, I think there's 12 two components to it. There's the ethical 13 requirements on accountants and there's also 14 those requirements under the PCML Act. Do I 15 have that right? 16 А (MWT) With respect to the triggering activities and ID'ing your client, yes. 17 18 Yes. And am I right in my understanding that Q 19 there are changes to the due diligence 20 requirements for accountants on beneficial 21 ownership coming this summer? 22 (MWT) Yes. А 23 0 And could you just explain what those changes 24 are going to be? 25 (MWT) There will be a requirement to verify А

| José Hernandez (for the commission) 93 Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Rauch-Davis | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | | beneficial ownership where the requirement to ID | | | |
| 2 | | a clients it required under the PCMLTFA. | | | |
| 3 | Q | And is that for solely for triggering | | | |
| 4 | | activities? | | | |
| 5 | А | (MWT) Yes. | | | |
| 6 | Q | And do you know what specifically that would | | | |
| 7 | | look like, what accountants will be required to | | | |
| 8 | | do to verify the identity of their clients? | | | |
| 9 | A | (MWT) Well, I think in part this is going to | | | |
| 10 | | depend where we are with respect to the | | | |
| 11 | | beneficial ownership register legislation that | | | |
| 12 | | is evolving in the country in the various | | | |
| 13 | | provincial jurisdictions, and will there be | | | |
| 14 | | information already available within a corporate | | | |
| 15 | | entity that they can refer to and verify or are | | | |
| 16 | | they going to have to start from scratch because | | | |
| 17 | | the information hasn't in fact been kept. So | | | |
| 18 | | that's one of the questions that looms around | | | |
| 19 | | the effective date of June 1st, 2021. | | | |
| 20 | Q | Right. Because I believe the language under | | | |
| 21 | | FINTRAC is using something like a reliable | | | |
| 22 | | source, taking reasonable measures to ensure the | | | |
| 23 | | accuracy of the client's ownership information. | | | |
| 24 | A | Right. | | | |

25 Q Is that your understanding as well?

1 A (MWT) Yes.

2 Q Yeah. And so I take it you agree with me that a 3 beneficial ownership registry would likely be 4 the easiest tool for accountants from across the 5 country to confirm that information.

- 6 A (MWT) Yeah. And so can I just be precise on 7 your wording. You use the word "registry" and I 8 think you're referring there to where 9 information from a corporation's register has 10 been reported to a registry; is that right?
- 11 Q Yes, that's right.
- 12 A (MWT) Yes, I agree that a beneficial ownership 13 registry would certainly be a valuable tool in 14 Canada at this time with respect to the 15 obligations under the *PCMLTFA* for accountants 16 and other entities.

That would alleviate concerns and even costs of 17 Q 18 due diligence on accountants, wouldn't it? 19 (MWT) Well, I think it's broader than that. I Α 20 think my answer is based on more what I would 21 view as being a public interest answer. The 22 benefits of a public registry or a registry that 23 has some form of public information means that 24 people, as José has alluded to here this 25 afternoon, can determine who it is that they're

dealing with. So that's very important in the
 public interest.

3 When you look at the participants or the 4 reporting entities under the regime, the financial institutions have had to bear this 5 beneficial ownership requirement for a long 6 time, and it can be very complex to wade 7 8 through. I think the registry is something that 9 enhances the regime and I think it actually 10 enhances the public interest in Canada. Thank you. Ms. Wood-Tweel, you also gave 11 0 12 evidence this morning that -- I believe that 13 identification verification in a beneficial 14 ownership registry is essential to an 15 effective -- is essential to the registry. Are 16 there any other aspects that you think are essential to an effective registry? Accomplish 17 18 the public interest goals you've just mentioned. 19 (MWT) One that I think is very important in А 20 Canada as a country because of our federated 21 model is the need to maintain consistency as 22 much as we can. And it's never perfect and it's 23 never always exact, but it is going to be 24 something that's going to be useful in a 25 registry scenario simply because as we travel

25

1 across borders, as people do business across 2 borders or as law enforcement tries to prosecute 3 across borders or what have you, we have to be 4 able to know that we're sort of comparing apples 5 to apples and not apples to oranges. So the level of the consistency of the information that 6 7 contained in the register as well as the nature of the verification of it that's it's 8 9 information that can be relied on. It may be 10 able to be improved through use but can be relied on as verified I think is very important. 11 12 And by maintaining consistency and when you 0 13 allude to cross borders, you mean provincial 14 borders; right? 15 (MWT) Provincial borders and obviously also in Α 16 Canada with the Canada Business Corporations 17 Act. 18 Right. But in one way to accomplish that type Q 19 of goal is set up some sort of centralized 20 federal agency with national standards for each 21 province to abide by or cooperation to that 22 effect? 23 А (MWT) Well, I think then you could get into 24 something -- just by the way you framed it, I

think you could get into something that might

1 become duplicative. And the reason I say that 2 is because the provinces -- you know, they have 3 a basis upon which to move this forward relative 4 to their corporate registry process. So whether 5 a particular province feels that it needs extra information or slightly different information, 6 there are going to be nuances across the 7 8 country. And I think one of the particular provinces where we know that there are unique 9 ways in which they drive their process and what 10 11 they want to see coming out of their system is 12 Quebec in relation to where they have been with 13 the information in the enterprise registry and 14 where they would like to go into the future.

15 So I don't think necessarily the answer is 16 that we now have to construct something new at a 17 national level with new standards. I think what 18 we need to do is we need to be able to get 19 through -- whether technology or something else, we have to be able to enable something that 20 21 spans the entire country that people can access 22 as they need it. So law enforcement, 23 individuals like designated non-financial 24 business and professional individuals that have 25 responsibilities under the PCMLTFA also should

98 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Rauch-Davis 1 be able to access the information to comply with 2 the regime. 3 0 But I take it your overall -- I think I have 4 your evidence is still that the standards should 5 be consistent across the nation. They should be --6 7 А (MWT) We would argue, and it's much of what we apply to ourselves as a profession that operates 8 9 nationally and provincially. You look for harmonization to the extent that it's possible 10 11 and you hope that you can get as close to 12 harmonized as you can. In the very end that's 13 what you're looking for, that's the goal. It 14 may not be exact, but ... Thank you. Isn't another critical asset -- or 15 Q 16 critical aspect of an effective registry going 17 to be having some sort of public access to the 18 information. And perhaps this is a question 19 that Dr. Hernandez might be able to answer as 20 well. But just in that it enables the public to 21 engage in the three pillars that Dr. Hernandez 22 was referring to earlier. 23 А (MWT) José, do you want to go? 24 (JH) Yeah. No, I mean, I think you do want

public access. You do not want, you know, a

1 crowd-funding or crowd-sharing model where you 2 can't hold anybody accountable for a particular 3 issue. So I think it needs to go in steps. It 4 needs to have maximum information for law 5 enforcement to prosecute. That's clearly a priority. But you also need to have -- you do 6 7 need to put the responsibility where that belongs. You should not outsource that 8 9 responsibility because one of the thing we want is accountability. So that is important. 10

And as Michele points out, you do not want 11 12 complexity and to have a duplicative system, but 13 you do need information in the public domain. 14 And there are very, very good examples. Even 15 places like China ten years ago, you know, you 16 could not get information and now there's great databases. Same in Europe. And it's easily 17 18 accessible. It does not -- so you do need to 19 balance the privacy elements, but it does need 20 to go in stages. Just like in US is another 21 good example. You know, their information is 22 not necessarily in the first instance going to 23 be public, but in due course just by the nature 24 of business you're likely going to see a change. 25 Right. And, Dr. Hernandez, I take it you would Q

1 agree that without public access, the goal of 2 fostering a whistle-blowing culture or even 3 enabling the private industry and public at 4 large to facilitate successful prosecutions 5 would be severely hampered. If it's all private access, then those goals get extremely hampered. 6 (JH) I would look at it differently. I see 7 А whistle-blowing as, you know, you see certain 8 9 activities, certain transactions, a pattern of 10 behaviour that you have information and concerns and you elevate that. I don't necessarily see, 11 12 you know, going in and in that particular 13 registry and doing an analysis of that registry. 14 I see those two things as separately, but of 15 course there is a complementary component to 16 having these things working together. Thanks. Back to Ms. Wood-Tweel. Just a few 17 Q 18 more questions. When my friend was asking 19 questions this morning on whether there's a 20 realistic possibility that not a single 21 member -- CPA member was connected with an 22 attempted transaction that rose to the level of 23 a reporting requirement, I have a note that your 24 evidence was that you have nothing really to say 25 on that, and in the 37 years in the profession

1 you have never done one.

2 Do you know, has CPA Canada undertaken any 3 efforts to determine if the amount of STRs ought 4 to be higher?

(MWT) Well, the efforts that we've undertaken to 5 А this point are exactly as I've indicated in 6 7 relation to creating awareness and educating our members. I think the point that -- in relation 8 9 to when I was speaking to Mr. McGowan about, you 10 know, numbers, my inability to know whatever number is the right number -- and if anybody can 11 12 ever tell me what that number is, please do 13 because we have to be able to see through our 14 members' eyes what they are seeing.

15 I don't know what the right number will be, 16 but I take under complete and serious advisement 17 that we are being told that the numbers appear 18 low to those who are the people working within 19 the systems. So I think that what we have to do 20 is enable, as I mentioned before, our members to 21 comply as best as they can within the services 22 that they are providing to make sure that 23 they're aware. If there's something they're 24 seeing that should be in fact reported that 25 they're doing so, and that it's not by chance

| 1 | | that they haven't reported something they would |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | | have otherwise reported if they'd only been |
| 3 | | aware. That would be the worst outcome from |
| 4 | | where I stand in terms of my role as CPA Canada. |
| 5 | Q | But to your knowledge has CPA Canada undertaken |
| 6 | | any effort to obtain any sort of empirical data |
| 7 | | on that type of on that issue? |
| 8 | A | (MWT) Specific by doing, for example, surveys |
| 9 | | with members and such, no. But it is on my |
| 10 | | horizon. It's one of the things that I'm |
| 11 | | interested in knowing more about because, as I |
| 12 | | mentioned to Mr. McGowan earlier, this |
| 13 | | information is relevant not only for purposes of |
| 14 | | what the regime is concerned about, but it also |
| 15 | | could help us understand the types of |
| 16 | | transactions that members are in fact becoming |
| 17 | | involved in, which may relate to what CPD they |
| 18 | | should be doing, which may relate to the nature |
| 19 | | of the business as it's evolving. |
| 20 | | And the business that we're involved in in |
| 0.1 | | |

21 the business of accounting is obviously very 22 fast moving with respect to technology, with 23 data, digital, with cryptocurrencies coming on 24 board. There's many different things that are 25 swirling around us as a profession and we're

103 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Rauch-Davis Exam by Ms. Effendi moving forward. So one of the big projects we 1 2 have going on in the profession right now is 3 around future focusing the profession for these 4 dynamic times. And the type of information that 5 you're questioning about I think actually could be useful in regard to that. 6 7 MR. RAUCH-DAVIS: Thank you. Those are my questions. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Rauch-Davis. And now Ms. Effendi on behalf of the 9 Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada, 10 11 who has been allocated 30 minutes. 12 MS. EFFENDI: Thank you very much, Mr. Commissioner. 13 EXAMINATION BY MS. EFFENDI: 14 Good afternoon, Ms. Wood-Tweel. Good afternoon, 0 15 Dr. Hernandez. 16 А (MWT) Good afternoon. 17 (JH) Afternoon. 18 I just have a few questions for the both of you. Q 19 I will try to direct my question to the 20 individual that I think might answer, but 21 obviously I invite the other to jump in. 22 First, I'm grateful for my colleague 23 Mr. McGowan for having entered the background 24 report as an exhibit. And I just thought I'd 25 like to ask a few questions about that

background report of the various activities
 engaged by the CPA profession as it relates to
 AML.

4 One of the things that was discussed with 5 Mr. McGowan this morning, and I believe that was with you, Ms. Wood-Tweel, was the issue of the 6 CPA AML committee as well as their effort as it 7 8 related to the 2014 guide. And one of the 9 things that I heard you mentioned to Mr. McGowan 10 was the fact that prior to that guide, and I 11 believe you said that there were many other 12 quides within the legacy organization, and so --13 the legacy provincial bodies. And you mentioned 14 one specific quide in particular.

MS. EFFENDI: And, Mr. Commissioner, I thought that
maybe we could have that pulled up, identified
by Ms. Wood-Tweel, and marked as an exhibit just
so that your record is complete.

19And you will find that document, Madam20Registrar, at CPABC 8. I'm not mentioning all21the zeros. I hope that's okay. And this22document is a bit misleading because obviously23the first page deals with the alert. But if you24scroll down, Madam Registrar, to actually page 525of that PDF. And then I invite you, Madam

José Hernandez (for the commission) 105 Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Effendi 1 Registrar, just to scroll a bit further so that 2 Ms. Wood-Tweel can see which edition of the 3 guide this is. If you keep going. Here. 4 There's a reference here. 5 Yeah, I believe maybe on this page, Q Ms. Wood-Tweel, there's a reference to the date. 6 (MWT) 2008. 7 А 8 Exactly. Q (MWT) 2008. Yes. 9 А 10 0 And is that the guide you were referring to 11 earlier when speaking to Mr. McGowan? 12 А (MWT) Yes. This would've been the previous 13 quide in a legacy body to the 2014 guide, so it 14 was the prior version, if you will. 15 Correct. Q 16 MS. EFFENDI: Then if you can just scroll down, Madam Registrar, to page 8 of the PDF. 17 18 You also mention, Ms. Wood-Tweel, reference to Q 19 an original guide in 2002. And I see a 20 reference of that in a bottom paragraph. Is 21 that what you had in mind when you speaking with 22 Mr. McGowan? 23 А (MWT) Yes, it was. And also I believe it's in 24 the body of this where it also makes reference 25 to the fact that the prior committees had stood

106 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Effendi 1 that were involved in the development of that 2 2002 guide, as an example. 3 0 Okay. Thank you very much, Ms. Wood-Tweel. 4 MS. EFFENDI: Mr. Commissioner, I'd ask that that be 5 marked as the next exhibit. THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. 6 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 409, Mr. Commissioner. 7 8 EXHIBIT 409: CPA Canada Alert - Proceeds of 9 Crime (Money Laundering) and Terrorist Financing - Know your Obligations, July 2015 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 12 MS. EFFENDI: Thank you. 13 You also discussed, Ms. Wood-Tweel, with 0 14 Mr. McGowan the issue of the status of the 15 current --16 We can bring that down, Madam Registrar. 17 This document is no longer necessary. 18 But you also discussed the issue of the 19 status of the current 2014 guide and you made 20 reference to the fact that there was a process 21 that had been engaged by CPA Canada with respect 22 to issuing a 2021 guide as I understood you to 23 say. I just want to understand clearly your 24 evidence in terms of what was the impetus for 25 updating the 2014 guide?

1 (MWT) Well, very clearly the impetus is the А 2 regulatory changes that have occurred with 3 respect to the PCMLTFA which introduced changes 4 in the regime at general but also introduced 5 changes that are specific to chartered professional accountants and making sure that 6 7 there will be awareness of those changes. 8 And maybe to ask you a more direct question. Q Was any -- was the initiative to update the 2014 9 10 quide, had it anything to do with this commission? 11 12 А (MWT) Oh, no. No, no. No, this has been a 13 topic of conversation for a long time, before the Cullen Commission would have been formed. 14 15 This is to do with updating the guide, number 16 one. It was 2014, so at what point was it right 17 to update it in terms of passage of time, 18 changes, et cetera. The other thing that I 19 think I would share in relation to this is that 20 when I was asked to be involved in these federal 21 committees as I was in 2017, what I could see 22 was our system in motion. It was very clear to 23 me walking in the door that there was a lot 24 taking place with respect to enhancing the 25 regime. I couldn't tell exactly what it was

1going to look like, but I could certainly see2that there was a lot of momentum, there was a3lot of activity and I was about to become very4busy with it, which of course turned out to be5true.

6 But part of what I became aware of early on 7 in the process was that there was, you know, a 8 series of legislative and regulatory changes 9 that were going to be coming through. And then 10 we found out there were actually changes to 11 those regulations also being made at the same 12 time.

13 So that coupled with a style change, as I 14 understand it, a legal style change for the 15 regulations which was pursuant to the federal 16 government's justice department, there were 17 delays in getting those regulations actually to 18 the point of being fully finished and complete 19 and here you go, and that was 2019. And so at 20 the end of 2019 we had a set of regulations we 21 could start to work with with respect to 22 revising the guide. But only to be then 23 supplanted by the fact that we actually had 24 amendments to the amendments that came out in 25 very early 2020. And we then had to reply in a

consultation with respect to those that took us
 through to the summer, which is actually when we
 went into the contract with respect to updating
 the guide for 2021.

5 That's very helpful. Thank you. And you also Q mentioned and I believe your words were that you 6 7 engage writers. I just want to understand from 8 you who is working on updating this guide? It's a CPA member, Marc Tasse. He is a 9 А 10 part-time professor at the University of Ottawa. 11 Someone who has a good background with respect 12 to corruption and financial crime and money 13 laundering, et cetera. He is doing the write-up 14 or has done the write-up. The transcript is 15 actually drafted, as we speak. There's a 16 process to go through obviously of review and enhancement and all the rest of it and 17 18 eventually translation.

19QThank you very much. The other thing that I20wanted to cover as well with respect to the21activities that CPA Canada has engaged in with22respect to AML efforts is the issue of education23and publication. And I believe that you -- when24you were referring to some of activities at the25beginning in your testimony, you quickly

1 reference, you know, submission to the federal 2 government, but I noted from the background 3 report that it was also reference to educational 4 efforts and publications. So let me start maybe with could you explain 5 to the Commissioner what is CPAC's mandate in 6 7 terms of thought leadership, guidance that it might provide to the profession? 8 9 (MWT) Right. So we have obviously a role with А 10 respect to the public interest to make sure that 11 our members are aware of the issues that are 12 relevant to the work that they do. So we work 13 very hard to provide information that not only 14 informs them but also supports their work. And 15 that could be done through research, it could be 16 done through issuing guidance. It can be by creating awareness or by simply informing or 17 18 intriguing.

19Part of the information that we have20produced over the last couple of years has been21a combination of things. It certainly has been22meant to build awareness. It's also been meant23to intrigue so that people are looking for more24information to determine how this might affect25them and it's also been to support them as they

1 understand that there are changes coming and 2 that they will need to be aware of them in their 3 practices with respect to their clients. 4 Q And looking at the background report --MS. EFFENDI: And I am happy for us to pull it, 5 Mr. Commissioner, if that is of assistance. 6 That's exhibit 406, Madam Registrar. 7 I noted that starting at -- I believe it's at 8 Q paragraph 11, 12 and 13. If you can scroll to 9 that, Madam Registrar. That's at page 6 of the 10 11 PDF. 12 I see that here we have, I quess, examples 13 at 11, 12 and 13 of presentations, webinars that CPA Canada has been involved in. And I don't 14 15 necessarily want you to walk us into all the 16 granular details of this, but can you generally tell us what these educational sessions were 17 18 about. 19 (MWT) Yes. The one that was in February 2019 А 20 was quite unique in terms of how it was done. 21 It was a panel presentation by a collection of 22 individuals that had knowledge around anti-money 23 laundering and the issues the profession faces 24 with respect to whistle-blowing, new 25 legislation, et cetera. And we got together and

1 talked about the issues that were confronting 2 the country, they were confronting the 3 profession. New developments like beneficial 4 ownership, new legislation bit by bit coming 5 through in Quebec regarding whistle-blowing in a 6 variety of different ways about the elderly, 7 about public contracts, et cetera.

8 And we put that into a panel to basically educate and to inform the CEOs, leaders from 9 10 across the country as well as the governance leaders from across the country who convene 11 12 twice a year to hear about topics of interest 13 and import. And this was one that we certainly 14 with the provincial bodies had identified would 15 create interest. That then of course helps them 16 think about priorities as they go back to their 17 home jurisdictions.

Q That's helpful. Thank you. And I also see that you have given a presentation about, I guess, money laundering -- sorry, about anti-money laundering efforts. Do you recall at CPA Saskatchewan --

MS. EFFENDI: I think that's referenced at
paragraph 13, if you can just scroll down, Madam
Registrar.

1 (MWT) Yes. Okay. Yes, I did, and that was at А 2 the invitation of CPA Saskatchewan. And it was 3 to provide an update essentially of the 4 anti-money laundering and terrorist financing 5 regime and the happenings and also to speak to the implications COVID. This was certainly at a 6 time when we know that COVID had upended many 7 things in the world, and not the least of which 8 9 was the AML regimes around the globe.

10 So it was particularly interesting. It was 11 done on one of these platforms. And what I 12 found from it which was very encouraging to me 13 was that I really liked the guestions that the members were asking. And the questions that I 14 15 thought were sort of very useful was the fact 16 that they were coming from practitioners but 17 they were also coming from members in industry. 18 And they wanted to know how they could become 19 involved to assist with respect to resisting the 20 potential for money laundering to take place in 21 this country.

22 So I thought it was an experiment on a new 23 platform, if you will during a COVID time, but 24 it really was quite informative to me as to the 25 information that they found useful.

MS. EFFENDI: Thank you. If we could just, since
 we're here scroll down, Madam Registrar, to
 paragraph 14.

4QI note that in the background report prepared by5CPA Canada there's an extensive list of articles6that were published by CPA Canada either in7its -- and I'll come to it in a moment -- in its8magazine called *Pivot* or in other media, and I9counted the about 23 articles.

10 And can you explain to us what is generally 11 the purpose of publishing these types of 12 articles? I think you touched upon it just a 13 few minutes ago, but just so that it's clear for 14 the Commissioner.

15 (MWT) Yeah, I think the three words that would А 16 explain it is that *Pivot*, it provides insight, it intrigues and it informs. So it is a 17 18 publication that covers a wide variety of 19 business and other issues that are relevant to 20 the CPA profession. Some would entirely be 21 about piquing our interest in subject matters to 22 learn more. Others are flagging the fact that 23 changes are coming. So we made, you know, 24 particular use of it with respect to the 25 burgeoning changes we could see with respect to

1 beneficial ownership as it relates to the Canada 2 Business Corporation Act and the relevance of 3 that to CPAs as practitioners or as individuals 4 that work in Canada Business Corporations Act 5 clients or corporations. So we use it to, as I say, inform. 6 It's not, however, intended to be a 7 technical journal. It's intend to provide the 8 9 information that opens up the horizons for the 10 CPA profession so that we're seeing the issues in front of us and that it gives us information 11 12 to inform and to provide insights. And I guess -- would it be fair to say -- you 13 Q 14 mentioned a few times, pique the interest of the 15 Would it be fair to say that you're hoping CPA. 16 that, you know, a CPA that reads these articles 17 would be piqued enough to go and look at other 18 tools that might be published by CPA Canada in 19 term of understanding their obligation as it 20 relates to AML? 21 А (MWT) Right. So I think the writing style, 22 number 1, allows us to do that. It's written in 23

23a way that it's a very engaging style and it24does sort of put forward questions. It puts25forward points of view. It refers to other

1 tools, products, et cetera, that might engage 2 the CPA member to think they need to know more 3 and it gives them direction as to where they can 4 go to find out more. 5 So it's meant to be an engagement tool. And of course, you know, parts of this, when you see 6 7 it electronically, it includes links and things 8 like that, so information becomes readily accessible to the member. 9 10 Thank you. 0 (JH) And, Ms. Effendi, if I could just add. 11 А 12 Please. Ο 13 (JH) This is the type of magazine that many А 14 times as I'm crossing the Air Canada lounge it 15 is sitting right there. You know, put an 16 Encyclopedia Britannica next to the Air Canada 17 lounge and people do pick it up. It's quite 18 lively. It's written in the sense that 19 understanding that people are overwhelmed with 20 information, so this synthesizes and clearly 21 puts the point forward. I've been interviewed for some of these articles, but the quality is 22 23 very, very high. 24 Thank you, Dr. Hernandez. 0

25 On Monday Mr. McGuire actually made

117 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Effendi 1 reference to one of the articles and I think, 2 Ms. Wood-Tweel, I wanted just to give you an 3 opportunity to speak to that article. 4 MS. EFFENDI: So before we do that, Madam Registrar, 5 can we pull up CPAC33. And if we could go to page 7 of that document. 6 7 And, Mr. Commissioner, this is an article 8 from the *Pivot* magazine that's actually 9 referenced in the background report we were just 10 looking at. And it's entitled "What It Takes to Clean Up Dirty Money." 11 THE REGISTRAR: Sorry, Ms. Effendi, did you say 12 13 CPABC33? 14 MS. EFFENDI: CPAC33. THE REGISTRAR: I don't have 33. 15 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Is it 34? THE REGISTRAR: I have a *Pivot* magazine, CPAC34. 17 18 I'll bring it up. 19 MS. EFFENDI: It's not that, but that's okay. It's 20 not a big deal. I can just --21 THE WITNESS: (JH) But that's a wonderful article you 22 just put up, Madam Registrar. 23 MS. EFFENDI: Indeed it is, Dr. Hernandez. I see 24 that you're the author of that. 25 But not to worry, Madam Registrar. We don't

need it for me to ask the question to
 Ms. Wood-Tweel.

3 0 On Monday Mr. McGuire testified that he actually 4 had read a quote in one of the articles and that 5 he was surprised and troubled by the quote that he had read in one of the Pivot magazine, and 6 it's this one that I'm referring to that is 7 8 entitled "What It Takes to Clean Up Dirty 9 Money." And what he quoted actually was something that you, Ms. Wood-Tweel, had been 10 11 quoted in the article as saying.

12 And I'm going to read it, Mr. Commissioner.

13And I would ask you, Ms. Wood-Tweel, just to14maybe explain to us what you meant by that. And15you're quoted as saying:

"It is becoming increasingly complex in Canada for CPAs to try to do the right thing if they don't know where to report, how to report and what protection will be available, especially when there are so many different pieces of legislation speaking to the issue of reporting.

There needs to be a go-to framework or, in fact, a national whistle-blowing
policy. The policy would apply to many

1 other areas, whether it is environmental 2 whistle-blowing or occupational health and 3 safety. In a federated model like 4 Canada's, it's all over the place, and 5 it's growing with each piece of legislation that is introduced." 6 7 And the piece that Mr. McGuire was troubled by, 8 Ms. Wood-Tweel, was really the first part of 9 that where you mentioned that it's increasingly 10 complex for CPA's to try to do the right thing. Can you explain to the Commissioner what you 11 12 meant by that. 13 (MWT) Yes, I can. It relates to the information А 14 that was in the paragraph immediately preceding 15 it where the CEO of CPA Quebec, Geneviève 16 Mottard, was actually describing the discrete 17 pieces of legislation that had been introduced 18 into the province of Quebec regarding some 19 whistle-blowing related provisions. 20 And these are discrete pieces of legislation 21 in respect of specific areas. So there is one

22 that deals with the elderly, there are some that 23 deal with public sector contracts and things 24 like that.

The issue is is that as I've been studying

25

1 the information about how Canada compares to 2 some other countries, one of the things that has 3 occurred to me is that we are lacking, in my 4 opinion, a significant legislative tool. And if 5 you look at the UK as an example, you find an act like the Public Interest Disclosure Act that 6 7 applies to public and private sector. It applies to volunteers. It encourages the type 8 9 of speakup culture that we envision could be relevant for the PCMLTFA and corruption more 10 broadly. 11

12 One of the things that the Public Interest 13 Disclosure Act does in the UK which I thought 14 was very valuable is it actually assembles in 15 one place all of the channels by which you can 16 report in relation to the issues that you may be facing or want to report on. In Canada I don't 17 18 believe we have such a thing. So as a Canadian 19 standing on Main Street, if I know something 20 about money laundering, do I know the PCMLTFA? 21 I'm doubtful that most Canadians do. Do I know 22 the right environmental piece of legislation 23 under a province that might exist as to how I 24 access it? And it goes on from there. You've 25 got securities bodies that in one province will

1pay an award with respect to information,2whistle-blowing, and the next piece of3legislation introduced by a province in Canada4says there's no award. We are becoming5unwieldy, in my opinion, in terms of where these6pieces of legislation are located and the7differences amongst them.

8 And if I get very specific to the PCMLTFA, 9 what we have just seen in the United States is 10 actually the incorporation of several of the 11 suggestions that we've actually been advocating 12 for. The US passed on New Year's Day a new act, 13 the Corporate Transparency Act, that includes 14 beneficial ownership to FinCEN, reporting to 15 FinCEN. It's not public. But it also includes 16 an enhanced whistle-blower portion in relation 17 to their system, and it also includes a degree 18 of information sharing amongst relevant 19 participants in the regime.

These things are significant enhancements that United States has just been doing, and those are actually the types of things that we're talking about when we speak to whistle-blowing as an example. It's a much bigger and broader concept. It's not a

1 whistle-blower hotline. It's not restricted to 2 the *PCMLTFA*. It is a clear and marked 3 deficiency in the legislative framework in 4 Canada. And I'm happy to say I am not the only 5 person that believes it because there's a report out of the University of Ottawa that actually 6 7 concluded the same types of things that I concluded, and in fact they also noted the 8 9 Public Interest Disclosure Act as being one of 10 the best practices that they could find. Thank you, Ms. Wood-Tweel. I wanted now to move 11 0 12 actually just to clarify because -- and we can 13 bring this down, Madam Registrar. We no longer need this document. 14 15 But I thought that both of you had mentioned 16 at various times -- I think, Ms. Wood-Tweel, at 17 one point you said -- you mentioned a public 18 trust committee. And I believe that you, 19 Dr. Hernandez, at one point mentioned the public 20 trust. And so -- and I noticed that when we

21 were looking at one of the documents attached to 22 the background report -- that's the March 31st, 23 2017 letter, Mr. Commissioner -- that 24 Dr. Hernandez explained that described the 25 blueprint and the various recommendation there's

| М | sé Hernandez (for the commission) 123 chele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) am by Ms. Effendi |
|----|---|
| 1 | again reference to this public trust committee. |
| 2 | So I think it might be helpful for |
| 3 | Mr. Commissioner to what is this public trust |
| 4 | committee that is being referred to, |
| 5 | Ms. Wood-Tweel, and if you could just describe |
| 6 | that to us. |
| 7 | MS. EFFENDI: It might be helpful just to assist you |
| 8 | and make things go a bit more quickly Madam |
| 9 | Registrar, if we could pull that document. So |
| 10 | that would be exhibit 406 and it would be the |
| 11 | appendix V and would be page 395. |
| 12 | If we can just scroll to the last |
| 13 | paragraph of that first page, that is where I |
| 14 | noted the reference to the public trust |
| 15 | committee. And it refers to it as the committee |
| 16 | that: |
| 17 | "Oversees the ethical standards and |
| 18 | self-regulatory processes of the |
| 19 | profession, serving to protect its |
| 20 | integrity while maintaining public |
| 21 | confidence and trust." |
| 22 | Q And so maybe I could start with you, |
| 23 | Ms. Wood-Tweel. First of all, do you sit on |
| 24 | this committee? |
| 25 | A (MWT) Yes, I do. And I'm secretary to the |

| Michel | | (for the commission) weel (for the commission) fendi | 124 |
|--------|---|--|-----|
| 1 | | public trust committee. | |
| 2 | Q | Okay. So can is this an accurate descript | Lon |
| 3 | | of this committee? | |
| 4 | А | (MWT) Yes, it is. | |
| 5 | Q | Okay. | |
| 6 | A | And to say it in more laypersons terms, it's a | a |
| 7 | | coordinating forum. | |
| 8 | Q | For what? Can be elaborate a bit on that? | |
| 9 | A | (MWT) Yeah, the coordinating forum for the | |
| 10 | | efforts of the provincial bodies to come | |
| 11 | | together and to agree on when we talk about | - |
| 12 | | harmonization to get to as harmonized a place | as |
| 13 | | possible, to share best practices, to learn fi | com |
| 14 | | each other with respect to experiences | |
| 15 | | et cetera. It is a forum in which we look to, | |
| 16 | | as it states, protect the integrity of the | |
| 17 | | profession by doing the right thing and also | |
| 18 | | maintaining the public confidence by moving the | ne |
| 19 | | profession in lockstep with itself, as opposed | d a |
| 20 | | federated model having differences appearing a | at |
| 21 | | borders. That's our effort as to maintaining | as |
| 22 | | much harmonization as we can. | |
| 23 | Q | And what role, if any, does the public trust | |
| 24 | | committee have as it relates to anti-money | |
| 25 | | laundering efforts? | |

(MWT) Well, the public -- in relation to looking 1 А 2 at ethical standards and self-regulatory 3 processes, you know, one of the ways that we do 4 that is obviously not by doing it in a vacuum. 5 We are definitely looking at the environment. We watch the environment as it changes. 6 We 7 watch issues as they are arising or changing. The information associated with this anti-money 8 9 laundering -- the committees, the information 10 from FATF, from the 2016 report, et cetera, are all pieces of information that are heard at the 11 12 public trust committee with the insight that we 13 then need to consider that information relative 14 to the processes of the profession. And the 15 code. Thank you, Ms. Wood-Tweel. I'd like now to move 16 0 17 on to a different topic, and that's the report 18 by Mr. McGuire and his testimony. 19 MS. EFFENDI: By the way, Mr. Commissioner, I note 20 that I have 4 minutes to go, I think, on my 21 time. I think I'm going to be a bit longer.

I'm hoping that I won't need more than an additional 10, 15 minutes, but I would ask your indulgence, if I may.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: I think that's fine, Ms. Effendi.

126 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Effendi 1 We're well within the time, so I am certainly 2 prepared to indulge you. 3 MS. EFFENDI: Thank you very much. 4 0 So one of the things that is reference in Mr. --5 first of all, I should ask both of you, did both of you read the McGuire report? 6 7 А (MWT) Yes. 8 (JH) Yes, I did. 9 Okay. One of the things that we heard actually 0 Mr. McGuire testify about on Monday and it's 10 11 cited in his report, he referenced a number of 12 cases which he found -- which he asserted 13 yielded anecdotal instances of accountants 14 either engaging in money laundering or somehow 15 facilitating money laundering and one of the 16 cases he discussed on Monday with my colleague Mr. Pratte is the Neilson case, and I believe 17 Mr. McGuire testified that he wasn't aware of 18 19 the status of Mr. Neilson within the profession. 20 Maybe -- that question is probably better 21 asked of you, Ms. Wood-Tweel. Are you aware of 22 what the status is of Mr. Neilson in the 23 profession? 24 (MWT) Yes. Mr. Neilson's CPA registration was А cancelled in 2016. 25

1 And do you know why that was? Q 2 (MWT) It was in relation to specific complaints Α 3 that had been received by CPA Alberta and some 4 were in relation to different things. There 5 were more than one complaint, but it's the -and I think -- I'm trying to remember the 6 7 publication of it, but it was in relation to 8 things that he had done or associated himself with false information and that was the nature 9 of the decision that was made out of CPA 10 Alberta. 11 12 Okay. Thank you for clarifying that. And I 0 13 know that Mr. McGuire made several 14 recommendations in his report, and this might be 15 a good time just to deal with a couple of them. 16 One of the recommendations in Mr. McGuire's 17 report -- and we can bring this document down, 18 Madam Registrar -- is that he speaks about the 19 inclusion of non-regulated accountants in the 20 Canadian AML regime.

And so, Ms. Wood-Tweel, does CPA Canada have a position with respect to that recommendation? A (MWT) Yes, I think we would be supportive of that type of recommendation and we say that because we recognize that accountants who are

1 not regulated that the term is not protected in 2 Canada. And that causes a problem both for the 3 public and also in relation to statutes like the 4 PCMLTFA. Because you're knowing that 5 information is being handled in accounting services are being provided by individuals that 6 are not supervised or regulated. So we think 7 8 that that would be an enhancement to the overall 9 regime. 10 And while I'm perhaps at it, Ms. Effendi, if 11 I might add. 12 Ο Yes. 13 (MWT) In the UK it's a very similar situation. А So "accountant" is also not a protected term in 14 the UK. It is not like "lawyer" or "doctor." 15 16 And in the UK unregulated accountants are 17 subject to the regime and they are registered through the HMRC which is the -- Her Majesty's 18 19 Revenue and Customs. 20 Q Thank you. Another recommendation that is 21 mentioned by Mr. McGuire and he elaborated on on 22 Monday was with respect to improving the sharing 23 of information by FINTRAC and other 24 stakeholders. Again, does CPA Canada have a 25 position with respect to that recommendation?

(MWT) So I think we believe that there's 1 А 2 information sharing that could be improved 3 within regime participants that would enhance 4 and advance the objectives of the PCMLTFA if 5 more sharing were possible. So one of the things that we were very happy to see in the 6 fall economic statement was the indication that 7 the government will be looking at FINTRAC's 8 abilities to share information and/or to ask for 9 10 information. We think that's a very good thing.

11 We also believe that regime participants --12 and if I can again with respect use an example 13 of bank A and bank B -- it's my belief they need 14 to be able to talk to each other and to be able 15 to get to the root of issues that they're 16 seeing. If they are somehow kept apart because 17 of, for example, privacy laws, et cetera, the 18 deficiency there is that the people with the 19 illicit objectives can actually play on those 20 kinds of protections of privacy rights and to 21 the detriment of the system and the detriment of 22 the Canadian society.

23 So we are certainly in favour of information 24 sharing that becomes enhanced within the 25 participation of those regime participants, and

1 it doesn't have to be, I think, you know, a full 2 out on board, all information on the table, everybody sees everything. I think it can be 3 4 done in a way where you identify who needs to 5 know information to advance the regime's purposes. That's the strategic way to do it and 6 7 it's the way that actually respects, I think, best the privacy rights of Canadians. 8 Dr. Hernandez, did you have something to add? 9 0 (JH) No, I think that that was well said. 10 А Okay. Now, moving on, I just wanted to ask a 11 Ο 12 few questions about the current regime just 13 following up on the guestion that you were asked and some of the information that the commission 14 15 has heard as well in the last couple of days. 16 We heard that the issue or the topic of -and the activity with respect to audits, review

17 18 and compilation engagement services, is not a 19 triggering activity and is not covered under the 20 current regime. And I guess I was wondering, 21 Ms. Wood-Tweel, in your experience and given 22 your involvement and interaction with the 23 government, whether you had an understanding as 24 to why the legislator may have decided to not 25 subject that activity performed by CPAs to the

1 legislation?

2 А Yes. And I've given it a lot of thought, and my 3 research as well as my understanding and 4 thinking about it is that the policy intent of 5 the legislation relative to accountants was in relation to financial intermediation. So when 6 one performs an audit or a review or a 7 8 compilation there's not an interaction with the financial system. That is the principal reason, 9 in my view, that it was exempted. 10

11 And do you have any views with respect to the 0 12 recommendation by Mr. McGuire that an amendment 13 be made to the legislation to subject audit 14 review and compilation engagement to the regime? 15 (MWT) I think the, again, policy intent of the А 16 legislation I accept and endorse as being the 17 attraction as to the financial intermediation by an accountant is where the risk occurs. 18 The 19 performance of an audit does not involve the 20 financial system. I, on its face, am not in 21 agreement that the scope of audit should be 22 included into the legislation. Audit is a very 23 highly regulated process in Canada. It is 24 subject not only to the requirements of the profession, but it's subject also, depending on 25

the engagement, to the Canada Public
 Accountability Board, CPAB, as well as PCAOB in
 the United States.

4 And i think that when we look at the standards that are involved in the Canadian 5 regime, those are very relevant. So I take note 6 7 when I look at the FATF RBA to accountants, one of the things that it says that the purpose of 8 the FATF recommendations as they are related to 9 accountants is that accountants should not be 10 11 assisting criminals and they should not be 12 enabling criminal activity. That is the intent 13 of what FATF's recommendations are related to 14 the accountants. When it speaks to something 15 like audit, it says that the requirements in a 16 country should be respective of the controls 17 that are in that country, namely the ethics code 18 of the accounting profession in that country as 19 well as the regulation of that country and the 20 standards. And in Canada we have regulation of 21 the audit field and review field. And when we 22 look at standards, we do have independent 23 standard setting-boards in Canada that set both 24 accounting and audit standards.

25 So this is one of the places where, again

1 through my thought, the issue of FATF is that 2 FATF is the establishing what should be and are 3 global recommendations and standards. You then 4 have to stand on Main Street in various 5 countries to understand how those are interpreted. When you look at a country like 6 7 Brazil versus the United States versus the UK, 8 there are differences. There are differences in legislation, regulation, business practices. 9 They vary country by country. 10

So when FATF establishes a recommendation, it is up to the country owning the regime to bring it home and make sense of it relative to the context of the legislative and regulatory functioning that we have in this country. I think that's what Canada has done and I endorse the exemption with respect to audits.

Okay. I'd like to talk to you a bit about -- I 18 Q 19 think it's somewhat related to this -- the 20 discussion this morning with Mr. McGowan 21 surrounding duty of confidentiality. And I 22 think you explained kind of the interaction 23 between the duty of confidentiality and kind of 24 the role of the accountants, what an accountant 25 could do -- and I use "accountant," but I mean a

CPA could do in the event they discover a
 problem.

3 And I believe also Dr. Hernandez spoke to 4 that that issue, but I wanted to clarify. One 5 of the things you mentioned was, you know, that a CPA would go and get legal advice in a 6 circumstance where, you know, he'd be having to 7 juggle all of these different obligations. But 8 9 one thing that wasn't clear in my mind, I 10 suppose, after your testimony was whether it would be a possible option for a CPA, you know, 11 12 on getting legal advice that they would actually 13 go ahead and disclose the problem they have 14 identified to the authorities notwithstanding 15 their duty of confidentiality. Like, is that an 16 option?

17 А (MWT) I suppose everybody has personal options 18 as to their decisions. I think part of what I 19 have to say in relation to when I was responding 20 to Mr. McGowan earlier today is that his 21 question was, in my view as I heard it, premised 22 as an individual as opposed to, for example, an 23 audit. And so this shows the dynamic of when 24 you have professional standards and auditing 25 standards to pay attention to.

1 If it were an audit regime-related question 2 and audit was underway and information was 3 becoming apparent even -- or known or suspected 4 information around non-compliance with laws and 5 regulations, the auditor then has responsibilities under the Canadian audit 6 7 standards. And so there's three places within 8 the standards that it speaks directly to money laundering as an issue and in relation to those 9 10 responsibilities.

11 And one of the primary responsibilities in 12 the Canadian audit standards is an escalation 13 process. It's a process of understanding 14 there's an issue, then trying to find out more 15 information about it so that you have some 16 tangible information in your hands as an auditor 17 to present. And then you look to who you are 18 going to contact relative to that organization. 19 And sometimes it could be management. It could 20 also be those charged with governance like an 21 audit committee or a board of directors.

22 Much of this is going to be specific to the 23 circumstance in relation to if there is any 24 involvement of any of those parties, and also in 25 specific reference to what the law says about

1 not tipping off individuals relative to perhaps 2 an ongoing investigation. So that's where we 3 say very clearly that even as an auditor, or in 4 the case of what I thought Mr. McGowan might 5 have been asking about with an individual, legal advice becomes extremely important. Because the 6 repercussions are it could mean that the auditor 7 can't complete their work; it could mean that 8 9 they would issue a report without reservations; 10 it could mean that the report would actually be 11 very serious in terms of what the findings were 12 and that could be issued as the report on a 13 corporate entity. That may affect, in fact, 14 obviously, their standing if they happen to be, 15 for example, a public entity, a publicly-traded 16 corporation. There may be a resignation 17 involve. It becomes a very complex scenario to 18 try and analyze and these are the things that 19 the auditor would be faced with.

20 Ultimately your question is can they resign, 21 and my answer would be -- or would report, and 22 my answer would be is that the code of ethics is 23 designed to say that you have confidentiality to 24 your client. If an individual were to report 25 because they felt that they had overriding

25

1 public interest reasons to do so, I think it's 2 fair to say the chips will fall where they lay, and the answer will be there could be civil 3 4 liability results that could come out of it. 5 They could be at risk with the profession. What they need within the confidentiality out is the 6 7 legal and lawful authority to be able to 8 disclose the information or in fact the consent of the client. 9 And related to that, I think I heard you mention 10 0 11 a few times -- and I know it by the acronym, so 12 I'm going to ask you to help me out here. But I 13 think what I referred to a NOCLAR, 14 non-compliance --15 Right. А 16 Can you first of all explain to the Commissioner Q what NOCLAR is. 17 18 (MWT) Right. Non-compliance is non-compliance А 19 with laws and regulations. It is an 20 international standard that was introduced into 21 the International Ethics Standards Board of 22 Accountants code of ethics. 23 And NOCLAR is essentially a framework by 24 which an accountant, whether an accountant in

public practice or otherwise, can move through

1 the various levels and stages of analyzing what 2 their steps might be in response to known or 3 suspected non-compliance with laws and 4 regulations. In fact NOCLAR had money 5 laundering on its mind at its birth because one of the focuses of the IESBA was that in some 6 countries in the world there was a lack of an 7 anti-money laundering system or and anti-money 8 9 laundering system that functions well. So 10 NOCLAR was in fact designed to help with that 11 and other broader categories of corruption.

12 One of the issues that we face in Canada is 13 because we have this overall lack of 14 legislative, you know, infrastructure, as I call 15 it, related to things like public interest 16 disclosure acts and whistle-blowing regimes with protections. It is difficult for us to envision 17 18 how NOCLAR will be implemented in Canada as a 19 national standard without inadvertent and 20 probably severe risk to anyone who might take up 21 the call. And that's exactly, I think, what 22 you've also seen under the PCMLTFA. If we look 23 at the individuals who acted as whistle-blowers 24 in BC, they have suffered the exact same 25 consequences that whistle-blowers around the

1 world do. They don't fare well. And I think 2 Canada in order to enable professions like ours 3 and even members of the public to speak up, that 4 speakup culture, I think we have to be prepared 5 to put the money and the protection where our mouths are and to ask and to protect those 6 7 people and support them in making those calls when they are able to whistle-blow. 8

Okay. Thank you for that. I'd like now to talk 9 0 to you about STRs just very briefly. You spoke 10 11 to Mr. McGowan with respect to your views as it 12 relates to the few STRs that -- suspicious 13 transaction reports that have been filed by the 14 accounting sector with FINTRAC. And so I'd like 15 to understand from you, because one of the 16 things the commission heard from Mr. McGuire on 17 Monday was that in his view the low number of STRs was indicative of a lack of understanding 18 19 by the CPA's of their obligations.

And so what's your view about that? A (MWT) Well, I think he can identify as well as other people can identify or conjecture as to what the reasons might be around the numbers of what STRs are. My own concern about what the numbers of STRs are is to ensure that we have

1 done our job to enable the understanding and the 2 awareness of the requirements in the legislation 3 and also to be able to recognize what are these 4 situations that in fact may be subject to 5 reporting relative to the legislation. Okay. Thank you. And one of the things that 6 0 the commission actually has heard, and this was 7 alluded to by Mr. McGuire, was evidence with 8 9 respect to STRs by the accounting sector in the UK. And I believe what Mr. McGuire testified on 10 Monday -- and I'm just going to remind you of 11 12 that in case you weren't listening to his 13 testimony and get your views about it. He 14 testified, and I quote: "Between 2002 and 2017 their STRs number 15 16 rose from 134 to nearly 10,000 in a year for the accounting sector. The number now 17 18 hovers around 5,000 a year, but it's a far 19 cry from the zero that we've seen in many 20 of the years since the requirements apply 21 to accountants in Canada. This supports 22 the idea that accountants are observing --23 in the parts of the world where they're 24 receiving significant outreach and 25 enforcement oversight, they are observing

141 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Effendi 1 these crimes and these transactions and 2 are reporting them and it's leading to 3 certainly great outcomes in the UK." 4 And let me pause here and put another piece of 5 evidence to you, Ms. Wood-Tweel, and get your reaction. 6 The commission has also heard from Professor 7 Levi that between April 2018 and March 2019 8 there was 5,055 SARs, and I just wanted to pause 9 10 at that. And so with these two pieces of information that I've just provided you, let me 11 12 ask you a series of questions. 13 First of all, what is the difference between 14 STRs and an SARs? 15 (MWT) So Canada's regime is built on suspicious А 16 transaction reports and that includes an actual 17 transaction occurring. In the UK the system is 18 built on a suspicious activity report. In other 19 words, no transaction may occur but 20 circumstances may be observed, seen or arise 21 where suspicion is formed to achieve reasonable 22 grounds that a report is made as a SAR. One of 23 the ways in which it can be explained is that an 24 STR would be a transaction occurring in England 25 or in Wales or what have you, they may look at

1 the possessions of an individual and determine 2 that the possessions were inconsistent with the overall income of the individual and notice that 3 4 accounts were off inside of an accounting 5 function. Put those things all together, no transaction has been seen but together the 6 information seems to be leading in a direction 7 8 that there's something happening and it is 9 suspicious and it thereby gets reported.

So if I were to compare the nature of the 10 11 two regimes and the specific information that is 12 flowing into the financial intelligence unit, I 13 would say that the UK has a broader funnel. I 14 look at it as a funnel and say how much is 15 flowing into the funnel. I think you've got a 16 broader perspective of reports flowing into the UK. 17

18 Now, interestingly enough the UK has an 19 issue now because they do have over half 20 a million of these suspicious activity reports 21 to deal with per year and they've had an ongoing 22 program since 2018 to actually review and to 23 revise the SAR system for effectiveness and 24 efficiency because they can't deal with the 25 incremental volume that is escalating each year.

1 So it shows a different style of regime and 2 these are, you know, in different parts of the 3 world. You can look in Europe and find 4 countries where one country will have immense 5 numbers of reporting, in the millions, and the country right next door to it may have a very 6 much different number and smaller. And it's the 7 way the regime is actually built and designed 8 9 and the way it tries to capture information for 10 the system. To your knowledge, Ms. Wood-Tweel, has the 11 0

12 increase in the number of SARs in the UK led to 13 more prosecution with respect to money 14 laundering?

15 (MWT) I'm not aware of exact numbers on Α 16 prosecution, but what I have looked at is the UK national inherent risk assessment for 2020. And 17 18 I take note that one of the -- or actually two 19 of the main objectives for the coming year with 20 respect to the UK is to improve prosecution and 21 to improve the enablement of law enforcement to 22 succeed with respect to asset seizures, 23 et cetera, in the UK. So what I would glean 24 from that is and also one of the objectives is 25 to improve the SARs system to make it better and

1 more efficient and more effective. 2 What I glean from that is that the UK is 3 doing what it's been doing all along. It's 4 improving. So it's looking at what its 5 experience is and saying, okay, is this getting us what we need, and if it isn't, what can we do 6 7 next to improve it. 8 They're also doing the review and the 9 enhancement of Companies House which they started and now need the enhancements to for it 10 11 to be as beneficial to them as possible as well. 12 And I guess one last guestion on that. Like, 0 13 has -- to your knowledge has the SAR system in 14 the UK led to deterrence with respect to money 15 laundering? (MWT) Well, I think they would say that they've 16 А had information that has flowed into the regime 17 that has enabled law enforcement to learn more. 18 19 So what I have done, then, is looked at that and 20 said, what does that mean relative to the 21 accounting profession. And what we find is that 22 the most significant risk within the accounting 23 sector in the UK is pointing in the direction of 24 company formation and company termination. And these are services in the UK that can be 25

provided within the accounting sector and 1 2 particularly with respect to trust and company 3 service providers, some 17,000 entities in the 4 UK or in the accounting sector providing trust 5 and company service provider work. And that includes actual formation, it includes 6 7 termination, it includes trusts, it includes partnerships, it includes cash flow, banks, 8 overseas transfers. 9 10 These are in-depth money-running businesses 11 that are inside the accounting profession in the 12 UK. The equivalent to that is not in Canada, 13 and of course with the scope of practice 14 restricted for CPAs that we don't practice law. 15 The formation of companies, trusts, 16 partnerships, et cetera, is a legal position in 17 this country. 18 Thank you. Q 19 MS. EFFENDI: Mr. Commissioner, I realize that I'm,

21 pushing my luck here, but I just have two more 22 questions for these witnesses, if you would 23 indulge me one -- a bit further.

as we would say back home in New Brunswick,

20

24 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's fine, Ms. Effendi. Go
25 ahead.

1 MS. EFFENDI: Thank you.

2 Q One of the things that was discussed, Ms. 3 Wood-Tweel and Mr. Hernandez, was the issue of 4 compliance deficiencies and the presentation 5 that was done by FINTRAC to CPA Canada's AML 6 committee back in March 2015.

And, Mr. Hernandez, one of the things that
you indicated was that you thought you had seen
somewhere the fact that FINTRAC had indicated to
the committee that the profession was low risk.
MS. EFFENDI: And I just wanted for the record,
Mr. Commissioner, to have Mr. Hernandez identify

13 where I think he might have seen that.

And so I realize, Madam Registrar, that this is something that you may not have handy, but if you do, I'm looking at exhibit 395. And if you go to page 3.

And just for the record, Mr. Commissioner, these are the minutes of the CPA Canada March 4, 20 2015 meeting. And if we scroll to page 3 of the minutes.

THE WITNESS: (JH) It says right there
organizations." We passed it. A little bit
above, I think. Stop right there. It says:
"Organizations in the accounting sector

147 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Effendi 1 are generally assessed as lower risk." 2 So it wasn't low risk, it's lower risk. 3 MS. EFFENDI: 4 Q Excellent. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Hernandez. And on the issue of that 5 presentation and what followed --6 Ms. Wood-Tweel, you explained to Mr. McGowan 7 8 that what followed that presentation was an 9 alert that was issued by CPA Canada to the 10 profession. And I guess I wanted to get from 11 you a better understanding of what were the 12 efforts made by CPA Canada with respect to this 13 alert and also communicating this alert to the 14 profession, you know, after. And so -- because 15 that wasn't clear to me. 16 Right. А 17 Can you describe that to the Commissioner. Ο 18 (MWT) Right. So the committee had done its good А 19 work and it created the alert, and then the 20 alert was in fact taken by CPA Canada and shared 21 across the country in a number of different 22 ways. It was shared obviously as an alert 23 direct to members, direct to actual members and 24 through different channels. So members might 25 have received it more than once, in fact they

1 might have seen it multiple times, but they 2 definitely received it once. And as well it was 3 provided to the chief executive officers of the 4 provincial bodies in the country because we 5 do -- through the process of summarizing the leadership, thought work that we do in the 6 7 profession, we share the products. And this would have been one of them, so that was one of 8 the other areas that we shared it. And it was 9 10 also shared as well for purposes of 11 disseminating through the provincial bodies to 12 their member newsletters and such. So it would 13 span right across the country as it was intended 14 to and certainly we can see that it was. 15 Okay. Thank you. And one of the things that Q you indicated to Mr. McGowan was that FINTRAC 16 did not come back after this alert to inform CPA 17 18 Canada with respect to the level of compliance. 19 Did I get that right? 20 А (MWT) Correct. 21 Q And I guess just for clarity, FINTRAC didn't 22 come back and indicate any concern either, did 23 they, about the level of compliance with the 24 profession?

25 A (MWT) That's correct.

149 José Hernandez (for the commission) Michele Wood-Tweel (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Effendi Questions by the Commissioner MS. EFFENDI: Okay. Mr. Commissioner, thank you very 1 2 much for your indulgence. These are all my 3 questions. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Effendi. 5 Anything arising Mr. Rauch-Davis. MR. Rauch-DAVIS: No, thank you. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. McGowan? 7 MR. McGOWAN: No, thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. I just have one question to -- I quess that arises out 10 of Ms. Effendi's examination. 11 12 OUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONER: 13 Dr. Hernandez -- no, I'm sorry, Ms. Wood-Tweel, Q there was a discussion about the fact that 14 15 the -- an accountant's obligation of 16 confidentiality can limit his or her ability or 17 authority to report on behaviour that may be 18 reasonably regarded as criminal in nature. And 19 I'm just wondering if CPA Canada has ever sought 20 or obtained a legal opinion about what conduct 21 by a client might fracture both the expectation 22 and the obligation of confidentiality that would 23 bind an accountant and would enable a report to 24 an appropriate authority.

25

Has anything like that of been sought by CPA

1 Canada and is that something that you would 2 regard as within its bailiwick to do? 3 А (MWT) Not in the role as CPA Canada as the body 4 in -- because it's not the regulator and it's 5 also not the body that owns a code. That's not to say that it couldn't be done in the support 6 7 of the profession as a whole and the provincial 8 bodies.

9 Q Right.

(MWT) so it could be done. I'm not sure that 10 А 11 I'm aware of anything like that that has been 12 done. I think -- I'm not sure either that I'm a 13 hundred percent understanding the nature of the 14 question that you're asking exactly and it's my 15 reason for not understanding. I think when we 16 talk about the legal authority it's clear to us 17 or clear to our understanding and certainly 18 clear to mine, that if you have a requirement to 19 report under a statute you're there. There's no 20 further permission sought. You're already able 21 to do what you need to do. The difficulty 22 occurs with respect to confidentiality is when 23 you're in the grey zone of perhaps wanting to be 24 able to say something, but you don't have a 25 client that will actually consent. And this can

1 come up in a number of different ways. So, you 2 know, they are difficult situations we have to 3 work through and they're not certainly limited 4 to illegal acts, but it does something that does 5 happen in the profession rather routinely and we have to deal with it. 6 7 0 Right. You know, I wasn't referring to situations where there was an obligation to 8 9 report but rather --(MWT) Where there wasn't? 10 А Yeah, where there wasn't and the question of 11 0 12 whether or not there's authority to report 13 and/or at least an ability to report without 14 engaging adverse consequences to the accountant. 15 (MWT) Through for, example, the civil affair А 16 that may ensue afterwards; right? And I think that's one of the issues that, you know, we face 17 18 through this as you think it through because the 19 other jeopardy for the professional which 20 presumably goes away, if you are right. So if 21 you suspect something and you breach 22 confidentiality and report it and you're right, 23 I'm assuming that the profession would not be 24 looking at that and charging you with having 25 breached your confidentiality. I'm making a big

assumption here because I'm not a regulator.
 I'm just saying.

3 But the other part of it is is that what if 4 you're wrong. And so this is one of the issues 5 with suspected that we have wrestled with because if you suspect something and you do 6 breach confidentiality and you are wrong, then 7 8 in fact you've breached your professional rules and will be held to account. And we also know 9 that you've then offended your client, who 10 11 likely is about to take civil action against 12 you.

13 So it's not without a difficult dance that 14 we have to go through and that is why we do reference the fact that whether an individual or 15 16 an auditor, there's a need oftentimes to each 17 reach out for legal advice to weigh these 18 various issues as we proceed through the 19 evaluation stages of what this means, the 20 information that we might have come across. 21 0 Yeah, I would imagine that in any particular 22 circumstance an accountant facing that choice 23 would want legal advice to deal with the 24 specifics of what he or she was dealing with. I 25 was just really more wondering about more a

- 1 general legal --
- 2 A (MWT) Opinion.

Q -- opinion about the sorts of things that could
invalidate or at least fracture the duty of
confidentiality and the expectation, but I think
you have answered that. So thank you very much.
A (MWT) I think you've given me good information.
Thank you.

9 (JH) And this is going back --Mr. Commissioner, with your permission, going 10 11 back to -- you know, if we face these issues, 12 you imagine the entire public going back to 13 having a whistle-blower regime which protects 14 the individual that in good faith comes forward, 15 giving that information, allowing for an 16 exchange. And I think that is a very important 17 and effective pillar to consider.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you,

19 Dr. Hernandez.

20 Any questions arising out of that?21 Ms. Effendi?

22 MS. EFFENDI: No, Mr. Commissioner.

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. McGowan.

24 MR. McGOWAN: No, thank you.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you to both

1 Dr. Hernandez and Ms. Wood-Tweel. Your evidence 2 has been very helpful in understanding not just the accounting profession across Canada but its 3 intersection with the large issue of money 4 5 laundering and its regulation. So I'm very grateful to both of you for the time you've 6 7 taken and the expertise that you've shared with 8 us. 9 I think we're now in a position to adjourn 10 until tomorrow morning at 9:30, Mr. McGowan. MR. McGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 12 13 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned until 14 January 14, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. Thank you. 15 (WITNESSES STOOD DOWN) 16 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:45 P.M. TO JANUARY 14, 17 2021) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25